

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 7669/2026

Rakesh Choudhary S/o Shri Sundar Lal Choudhary, Aged About
36 Years, R/o Neelo Ki Dhani, Kaladera, Jaipur.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. Rajasthan Rajya Vidyut Prasaran Nigam Limited,
Registered Office, Vidyut Bhawan, Janpath, Jaipur
Through Chairman And Managing Director.
2. Chief Personnel Officer, Rajasthan Rajya Vidyut Prasaran
Nigam Limited, Vidyut Bhawan, Janpath, Jaipur.
3. Executive Engineer (220 K.v Gss.), Rajasthan Rajya
Vidyut Prasaran Nigam Limited, Niwana, Chomu, Jaipur.
4. Executive Engineer (220 K.v Gss.), Rajasthan Rajya
Vidyut Prasaran Nigam Limited, Shridungargarh, Bikaner.
5. Ramkishor Saini, Technician II (Employee Id 2125076)
Through Chief Personnel Officer, Rajasthan Rajya Vidyut
Prasaran Nigam Limited, Vidyut Bhawan, Janpath,
Jaipur.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Dharmendra Jain Mr. Arvind Kumar Arora
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Rahul Lodha, AGC

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI CHIRANIA

Order

30/04/2026

1. The petitioner, in the instant writ petition, has challenged the impugned transfer order dated 24.03.2026, whereby in the name of working arrangement, he has been transferred from Chomu, Jaipur to Sri Dungargarh, Bikaner.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the impugned action is unjustified and in the name of working arrangements,

respondents cannot make transfers in the absence of any administrative exigency.

3. This Court considered and decided a similar issue in **S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 7484/2026** titled as **Banai Singh Meena Vs. RRVPNL and ors.** vide order dated 24.04.2026. In the said case, this Court has decided the similar controversy and after considering the facts as stated by learned counsel for the respondents, the petition was dismissed and no interference was made.

4. The facts and issues in the present case are identical to those in **Banai Singh Meena** (supra).

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the respondents may be directed to consider the grievances of petitioner for re-shifting at par with the other persons, whom they have shifted after one year in the past, while considering the genuine grievances on case to case basis.

6. It is expected that respondents would follow the uniform policy in case of all the employees. Further, they shall also pay the necessary allowances to the petitioner and other similarly situated persons who are entitled for the same, in accordance with law.

7. In view of the order passed in the case of **Banai Singh Meena** (supra), this Court is not inclined to exercise its power under Article 226 of the Constitution of India and therefore, the present writ petition is dismissed.

(RAVI CHIRANIA),J