

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

D.B. Special Appeal Writ No.392/2026

In

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.20478/2023

1. Jaipur Vidhyut Vitran Nigam Limited, Through Secretary (Admin.), Vidhyut Bhawan, Janpath, Jyoti Nagar, Jaipur-302005
2. Ms. Arti Dogra, Daughter Of Shri R.k. Dogra, Aged About 46 Years, Presently Posted As Chairman And Managing Director, Jaipur Vidyut Vitran Nigam Limited, Jaipur.

----Appellants

Versus

1. Ram Kailash Meena, S/o Sh. Ram Kishore Meena, Aged About 57 Years, R/o 141, A-506, Ram Kishan Apartment, Shipra Path, Mansarovar, Jaipur-302020
2. State Of Rajasthan, Through Principal Secretary Department Of Energy, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur
3. Department Of Personnel, Through Secretary, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.
4. Rajasthan Rajya Vidyut Parsaran Nigam Limited, Through Secretary (Admn.), Vidyut Bhawan, Janpath, Jyoti Nagar, Jaipur 302005.

----Respondents

For Appellant(s) : Mr.R.N. Mathur, Senior Advocate assisted by Mr.Sandeep Singh Shekhawat, Adv. & Mr.Akshay Dutt Sharma, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :

HON'BLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE MR. SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SHUBHA MEHTA

Order

24/04/2026

1. The matter comes up on an application (IA No.1/2026) filed for seeking leave to appeal.

2. For the grounds mentioned therein, leave is granted and accordingly, application is allowed.
3. The present appeal has been filed with regard to the proceedings which have been taken up by the learned Single Judge in relation to a matter of promotion filed by the respondent No.1 claiming promotion to the post of Superintendent Engineer for the year 2022-2023.
4. From perusal of the order sheets dated 09.04.2026 and 20.04.2026, we, *prima facie*, do not find any reason to direct for initiating the proceedings against Chairman-cum-Managing Director through Anti Corruption Bureau (ACB). However, learned Senior Counsel for the appellants submits that in the open Court yesterday i.e. 23.04.2026 when the case was taken up by the learned Single Judge, directions have been issued for initiating the ACB proceedings against the Chairman-cum-Managing Director of the Jaipur DISCOM who is the Co-Appellant before us.
5. It is also submitted that the appellant No.2 was not a party before the learned Single Judge and only affidavit in official capacity was demanded by the learned Single Judge with regard to maintaining of the rosters.
6. In the appeal, it has been stated that the learned Single Judge has directed for registration of complaint against the appellant no.2 before the ACB for delay in deciding the departmental enquiry.
7. It is stated that there are three departmental enquiries pending against the writ petitioner, out of which two are for major penalty and one for minor penalty and the same were before the

Enquiry Officer, who has submitted his report and a decision is required to be taken by the appellant No.2.

8. Learned Senior Counsel for the appellant submits that there was no occasion for allegation of any corruption nor there is any specific allegation in the petition of corruption against the appellant No.2 and therefore, the direction for registration of complaint against the appellant No.2 through the Anti Corruption Bureau, by the learned Single Judge was not called for.

9. Although, in normal course, we would have first looked into the specific contents of the order passed on 23.04.2026 by the learned Single Judge but we are informed that the said order has not been uploaded yet.

10. Issue notice to the respondents.

11. Taking cognizance on the basis of contentions made in the present appeal, we stay the further proceedings before the learned Single Judge as well as the order dated 23.04.2026 passed by the learned Single Judge and call for the file of the Single Bench (S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.20478/2023) before us for perusal.

12. List this appeal on 27.04.2026.

(SHUBHA MEHTA),J

(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA), ACTING CJ