

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 7730/2026

Kanaram and Ors.

-----Petitioners

Versus

State Of Rajasthan and Ors.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Rajesh Kumar Sharma
Ms. Kamini Pareek

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUROOP SINGHI
Order

28/04/2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners, after arguing for some time, submit that in pursuance of the order passed by the Hon'ble Division Bench on 01.03.2024 in ***D.B. Writ Miscellaneous Application No.178/2023***, titled as ***Jeevan Singh Rajput and Anr. v. State of Rajasthan and Ors.***, the petitioners had already preferred an application before the competent authorities regarding the regularisation of the land on which they have been in possession for more than four decades and while no consideration has been accorded to the said application, the respondents are bent upon to dispossess the petitioners tomorrow itself.

2. Without delving into the merits of the matter, it is noted that the petitioners had earlier approached the Hon'ble Division Bench and order dated 01.03.2024 was passed therein which reads as under:-

"1. An application for recall of order dated 12.04.2023 has been filed by the present applicant. - Kanaram and 33 others on the

submission that they are occupants of the land and according to them, they are not encroachers. It is submitted that the applicants are those who are entitled to be considered for regularization under the Regularization Scheme of Government.

*2. This Court while disposing of the earlier writ petition vide order dated 12.04.2023 clearly stated that the petition is being disposed of at the motion stage without issuing notice to the respondents. Therefore, it is made clear that the Court has not commented upon the merits of the case and only directed the inquiry to be made on the complaint as per the order dated 30.01 2019 passed in the case of **Jagdish Prashad Meena & Ors. Vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors., D.B. Civil Writ Petition (PIL) No. 10819/2018.***

3. At the cost of repetition, it is placed on record that this Court has not decided any issue. If the applicants claim that they are entitled to retain their possession on the basis of any valid authority, it is for them to satisfy the concerned Officer. If any such objection is submitted, the authority shall decide the same in accordance with law.

4. The application is accordingly disposed of.

3. This Court is not inclined to entertain the present writ petition in view of the fact that the petitioners had already approached the Hon'ble Division Bench.



[CW-7730/2026]

4. In the interest of justice, we allow the petitioners to file an appropriate application in this regard along with the second set of the petition and upon filing of the same, the Registry is directed to place the matter before the Hon'ble Division Bench.

(ANUROOP SINGHI),J

TUSHAR KUMAWAT /8