




**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR**



S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 6465/2026

1. Ankit @ Laden S/o Shri Rajendra Singh, R/o Basai Bhopal Singh Tehsil Mandhan Distt. Kotputli-Behror. (At Presently Confined In Sub Jail Behror).
2. Vikas Alias Fauji S/o Shri Bhoopsingh, Aged About 22 Years, R/o Basai Bhopal Singh Tehsil Mandhan Distt. Kotputli-Behror. (At Presently Confined In Sub Jail Behror).

-----Petitioners

Versus

The State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Ms. Harshita Sharma for Dr. Mahesh Sharma
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Vivek Sharma, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP TANEJA

Order

08/05/2026

1. This bail application has been filed on behalf of the accused-petitioners under Section 483 of BNSS in connection with FIR No. 268/2025 registered at Police Station Mandhan, District Kotputli-Behror for the offences punishable under Sections 189(2), 190, 126(2), 115(2), 119(1), 351(2), 352, 117(2), 110, 111(2)(b) r/w Section 61(2) of BNS.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case and they have nothing to do with the alleged offences. Learned counsel further submits that the injured sustained five injuries, out of which three injuries are grievous in nature but not dangerous to life and are on



the non-vital parts of the body. Learned counsel also submits that co-accused namely Sandeep Singh Chauhan, Kundan Singh, Vikas Singh, Lokesh @ Lucky, Rohit Alias Baba, Narayan @ Rahul Chauhan, Bablu Yadav, Manoj Singh @ Khatri and Manoj @ Moja have already been enlarged on bail by Co-ordinate Benches of this Court.

Learned counsel further submits that the petitioners are in custody since long; after conclusion of investigation, charge-sheet has already been filed in the matter and trial will take long time in its conclusion, so no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioners in custody and therefore, prays that the petitioners may be granted the benefit of bail.

3. *Per contra*, learned Public Prosecutor opposes the bail application and submits that petitioners have criminal antecedents, hence they may not be enlarged on bail.

4. Having regard to the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case; considering the arguments advanced by learned counsel for the petitioners; the facts that co-accused persons have already been enlarged on bail, the petitioners are in custody since long; charge-sheet has been filed and trial will take considerable time in its conclusion, but without commenting anything on merits / demerits of the case, this Court deems it just and proper to enlarge the petitioners on bail.

5. Therefore, this bail application under Section 483 BNSS is **allowed** and the accused-petitioners (1) **Ankit @ Laden S/o Shri Rajendra Singh** and (2) **Vikas Alias Fauji S/o Shri Bhoopsingh** are ordered to be released on bail, provided each of



them furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.1,00,000/- with two sureties of Rs. 50,000/- each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court, with the following conditions:-

- i. The petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or influence the witness in any manner.
 - ii. The petitioners shall not indulge in any criminal activity and shall not repeat any criminal offence punishable under the Law.
 - iii. The petitioners shall attend the hearing of the Trial Court on the date fixed by the Trial Court or as and when asked to appear before the Trial Court.
 - iv. In case of violation of any of the above conditions, the bail granted to the petitioners shall be liable to be cancelled.
6. The observations made in this order hereinabove are only for decision of the instant bail application and would not have any impact on the trial of the case in any manner.

(SANDEEP TANEJA),J