

RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT

सत्यमेव जयते

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 6507/2026
URN: CRLMB / 11705U / 2026

Maqbool S/o Fakruddin, Aged About 20 Years, R/o Neemki, Police Station Brajnagar, District Deeg (Rajasthan) (At Present Confined In District Jail, Deeg).

----Accused-Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

----Respondent

Connected With

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 7983/2026
URN: CRLMB / 14598U / 2026

Rahul Khan S/o Mohar Khan, Aged About 28 Years, R/o Dhanaka, Police Station Brajnagar, District Deeg (Rajasthan) (At Present Confined In Jail Deeg).

----Accused-Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

----Respondent

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 7984/2026
URN: CRLMB / 14599U / 2026

Parvez S/o Azad, Aged About 21 Years, R/o Saimla Khurd, Police Station Govindgarh, District Alwar. (At Present Accused Petitioner Confined In District Jail Deeg).

----Accused-Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Fahad Hasan, Mr. Aquif Khan, Mr. Mohd. Hasis, Mr. Ravindra Kumar, Mr. Mustak Ahmad
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Vivek Sharma Addl. G.A.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BIPIN GUPTA**Judgment / Order****30/06/2026**

1. The present bail applications have been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 against the order dated 10.04.2026 passed by the learned District and Session Judge Nagar, District Deeg, in Criminal Misc. Bail Applications, whereby the bail application of the petitioners was rejected in connection with F.I.R. No.90/2026, Police Station Nagar, District Deeg for the offences under Sections 319(2), 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2), 313, 111(2)(a)(4)(5), 61(2) (A) of the BNS, 2023, and Sections 66D and 66E of the Information Technology Act, 2000.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there is no criminal antecedent and even no complaint against the present petitioner has been registered on the National Cyber Crime Reporting Portal. Further, there are no criminal antecedents of the petitioners.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in the case. Moreover, the challan in the case has already been filed and the conclusion of trial will take sufficiently long time and even offences except offence under 111(2)(a)(4)(5), BNS, 2023 are triable by the Magistrate, therefore, he prays that the petitioners may be enlarged on bail as the accused are in custody since 28.03.2026
4. Learned Additional Government Advocate vehemently opposes the bail application.

5. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Additional Government Advocate and perused the material available on record.

6. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case and upon a consideration of the arguments advanced, further the fact that the challan has been filed, offences except offence under 111(2)(a)(4)(5), BNS, 2023 are triable by the Magistrate, trial will take considerable time and there are no criminal antecedents, this Court is of the opinion that the bail application filed by the petitioners deserves to be accepted.

7. Consequently, these bail applications are **allowed**. It is ordered that the accused-petitioners namely - **(i) Maqbool S/o Fakruddin, (ii) Rahul Khan S/o Mohar Khan and (iii) Parvez S/o Azad** arrested in connection with F.I.R. No.90/2026, Police Station Nagar, District Deeg, shall be released on bail; provided they furnish a personal bond of Rs.1,00,000/ (Rs. One Lakh Only) with two sureties of Rs. 50,000/- (Rs. Fifty Thousand Only) each, to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court, with the stipulation that they shall appear before the said Court on all dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so until completion of the trial, subject to the following conditions:

(i) The petitioners shall not leave country without the permission of the Court;

(ii) The petitioners shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which they are accused, or suspected, of the commission of which they are suspected;

(iii) The petitioners shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the

facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence; and

(iv) In the event the petitioners are found involved in any other cyber fraud case in the future, the prosecution shall be at liberty to move an appropriate application before the learned trial Court for cancellation of the bail granted herein.

(BIPIN GUPTA),J