

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Writ Petition No. 652/2026

1. Ms. Heena D/o Tejpal, Aged About 21 Years, (Dob 22-02-2005) R/o Valmiki Mohalla, Ward No. 3, Khairthal, Kishangarh, Alwar, Rajasthan.
2. Mr. Raj Kumar Tamoli S/o Vikram Tamoli, Aged About 21 Years, (Dob 22-01-2005) R/o Harijan Basti, Rajgarh, Alwar, Rajasthan.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through The Principal Secretary, Department Of Home, Government Secretariat, Jaipur (Raj.).
2. The Director General Of Police, Rajasthan Police, Jaipur (Raj.).
3. The Commissioner Of Police, Jaipur, (Rajasthan).
4. The Superintendent Of Police, Alwar (Rajasthan).
5. Station House Officer, Police Station Khairthal, District Alwar (Rajasthan).
6. Tejpal S/o Maamchand, R/o Valmiki Mohalla, Ward No. 3, Khairthal, Kishangarh, Alwar, Rajasthan.
7. Seema W/o Tejpal, R/o Valmiki Mohalla, Ward No. 3, Khairthal, Kishangarh, Alwar, Rajasthan.
8. Rahul S/o Tejpal, R/o Valmiki Mohalla, Ward No. 3, Khairthal, Kishangarh, Alwar, Rajasthan.
9. Rajesh S/o Maamchand, R/o Valmiki Mohalla. Ward No. 3. Khairthal, Kishangarh, Alwar, Rajasthan.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Aneesh Khan

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Manvendra Singh Shekhawat, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BHUWAN GOYAL

Order

20/05/2026

1. Defects pointed out by the Registry are overruled.
2. This criminal writ petition has been filed by petitioners seeking protection from the private respondent Nos. 6 to 9 as they are apprehending danger to their life and liberty at the hands of private respondents.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that both the petitioners are major to take decision of their life. He submits that petitioners solemnized their marriage on 18.03.2026 at Arya Samaj Marriage Vedic, Trust, Delhi-110054. A copy of the petitioners' marriage certificate has been annexed with the petition. Counsel further submits that petitioners are living peacefully as husband and wife but respondent Nos. 6 to 9 are not happy with their marriage and petitioners are receiving continuous threats from the private respondents, so they have prayed for protection.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioners has placed reliance upon the judgment passed by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of **Lata Singh Vs. State of U.P.** reported in AIR 2006 SC 2522.
5. Learned Public Prosecutor has opposed the same.
6. Both the petitioners are present in person today in the court. Their signature have been obtained on the court's order sheet and they have been duly identified by their counsel.
7. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioners are directed to file an appropriate application seeking protection before the concerned SHO, who in turn, is directed that if such an application is filed before him, he will provide adequate protection to the petitioners as per law and ensure that no harm is caused to them.

8. The Criminal Writ Petition is, accordingly, disposed of.
9. Stay application and all pending application(s), if any, stand disposed of.
10. However, it is made clear that this order will not affect other civil/ criminal proceedings, if any pending in the present matter.

(BHUWAN GOYAL),J