

  
**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN**  
**BENCH AT JAIPUR**

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 6354/2026

Jitendra Kumar S/o Shri Purnamal, Aged About 32 Years, R/o Ward No. 9 Kirdoli Police Station, Sadar Sikar, District Sikar, Rajasthan. (At Present Accused Is In Judicial Custody At District Jail Sikar).

----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through PP

----Respondent

---

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Mohit Khandelwal with  
Mr. Aditya Gupta, Mr. Pranav Sharma  
& Mr. Keshav Dadhich

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Vijay Singh Yadav, PP

---

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR JAIN**

**Order**

**05/05/2026**

- 1** The present bail application under Section 483 of BNSS is filed by the applicant-accused **Jitendra Kumar S/o Shri Purnamal** seeking bail in respect of a criminal case registered as FIR No.111/2026 dated 21.03.2026 registered at P.S. Sadar District - Sikar, for the offence under Section 8/22 and 8/25 of NDPS Act.
- 2** Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the matter and the investigation against him is complete and he is no more required in investigation. He further submits that there are no chance of fleeing of applicant accused from the jurisdiction of this Hon'ble Court. He also submits that the applicant undertakes

not to repeat offence and cooperate with investigation/trial, which will take time.

- 3** Learned counsel for petitioners submits that no recovery is effected from the present applicant and the main accused Sandeep from whom the recovery was effected was already enlarged on bail by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court on 21.04.2026. He further submits that only at the instance of statement made by main accused Sandeep, present applicant was arrayed as an accused. He further submits that recovered quantity is far less than commercial quantity and there is no criminal antecedents reported against the applicant.
- 4** Learned Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the bail applications and submitted that the allegations are grave and serious in nature. He has already filed a report received from concerned Police Station.
- 5** Heard learned counsel for the parties and learned Public Prosecutor. Perused the material placed on record by both the parties.
- 6** On the basis of recovery by the police on 21.03.2026, 9.60 grams of MDMA from the possession of Sandeep, an FIR No. 111/2026 was registered at Police Station Sadar, Sikar under Sections 8/22 and 8/25 of the NDPS Act. As per the information given by Sandeep, he procured MDMA from the present applicant. The present applicant was arrested on 09.04.2026. A Co-ordinate Bench has allowed the bail application of Sandeep on 21.04.2026. There are no criminal antecedents against the present applicant.

- 7** Upon hearing the arguments and perusing the record, we have found that the applicant-accused are no more required in the investigation and he is in custody for quite some time. The further proceedings will take its own time, therefore, looking to entirety of facts and circumstances of the case and without expressing any opinion on merits of the case, the Court deems it appropriate to grant bail to the applicant-accused.
- 8** Thus, the instant bail application filed on behalf of applicants-accused **Jitendra Kumar S/o Shri Purnamal**, is hereby allowed and the applicant-accused are ordered to be released on bail with condition that each of the petitioner would furnish a personal bond of ₹50,000/- with two sureties of like amount to the satisfaction of the Trial Court with the following conditions:-
- (i) The applicants-accused shall not tamper with evidence or influence the witness in any manner.
  - (ii) The applicants-accused shall not indulge in any criminal activity and shall not repeat any criminal offence punishable under the Law.
  - (iii) The applicants-accused shall attend the hearing of the Trial Court on the date fixed by the Trial court or as and when asked to appear before the Trial Court.
  - (iv) In case of any violation of above conditions, the bail granted to the applicants-accused shall be liable to be canceled.

9. The Registry is directed to send a copy of this order to the Trial Court through E-mail.

(ASHOK KUMAR JAIN),J

MONU /30