


**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR**



S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous (Petition) No. 2607/2026

1. Manogya Jain, Son Of Rakesh Jain, Aged About 18 Years, Resident Of 2729, Shobharam Mohalla, Ward No. 6, Nasirabad District Ajmer, Rajasthan.
2. Syed Honey Maqbool, S/o Syed Naved Hussain, Aged About 18 Years, Resident Of M. 229/32, Badar Building, Khadim Mohalla, Ajmer
3. Mohnish Hasani, Son Of Late Shri Sunil Hasani, Aged About 17 Years, Through Mother Smt. Seema Hasani, Wife Of Late Shri Sunil Hasani. Resident Of A-122, Behind City Square Mall, A-Block Panchsheel Nagar, Ajmer.
4. Rigved Singh Rathore, Son Of Shri Bhupendra Singh Rathore, Aged About 17 Years, Through Mother Ashita Rathore, Wife Of Shri Bhupendra Singh Rathore, Resident Of 5/30, Rathore Bhawan, Mayo Link Road, Ajmer

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through P.p.
2. Rahul Tahliyani Son Of Shri Mahesh Tahliyani, Aged About 43 Years, R/o A-47 Sagar Vihar Colony, Vaishali Nagar, Ajmer Patron Rehan Tahliyani

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Nonit Hatila
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Manvendra Singh Shekhawat, PP with Mr. Shubham Saini Mr. Devashish Pancholi

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUROOP SINGHI

Order

08/05/2026

1. The present criminal miscellaneous petition has been filed by the petitioners under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023 seeking quashing of FIR No.0287/2025 dated 16.10.2025 registered at Police

Station Alwar Gate, Ajmer, for alleged offences punishable under Sections 115(2), 126(2) and 3(5) of BNS, 2023 and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners, at the very outset, submit that the FIR in question arises out of a dispute between the parties and as the parties have arrived at a compromise dated 09.04.2026, a copy of which has been annexed in the petition as Annexure-2, the FIR in question deserves to be quashed in view of the judgments passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr.***, reported in **(2012) 10 SCC 303**, ***Narinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab & Anr.***, reported in **(2014) 6 SCC 466** and ***State of Madhya Pradesh Vs. Laxmi Narayan & Ors.***, reported in **(2019) 5 SCC 688**.

3. Learned counsel appearing for respondent No.2- complainant while acknowledging the factum of compromise between the parties submits that the respondent No.2 - complainant has willingly arrived at the said compromise and has no objection if the FIR in question is quashed.

4. Learned Public Prosecutor has handed over the factual report submitted by the concerned Investigating Officer, wherein the factum of compromise being entered into between the parties has been confirmed and thus, he submits that in view of the compromise entered into between the parties, appropriate order be passed.

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. In pursuance to the order dated 27.04.2026 passed by this Court, the Investigating Officer was directed to submit the status

report with respect to the compromise entered into between the parties. Factual report in that regard has been received and is taken on record, wherein the Investigating Officer has confirmed the factum of compromise being entered into between the parties. The antecedents of the petitioners have also been placed on record which reveals that there are no pending cases against any of the petitioners.

7. From the material on record, it is apparent that the dispute has amicably been settled between the parties. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Gian Singh (supra)***, has authoritatively held that the High Court in exercise of its inherent powers under Section 482 Cr.P.C. can quash criminal proceedings even in respect of non-compoundable offences if the dispute is essentially of a private and personal nature and the parties have amicably settled the matter, provided that such offences do not have a serious impact on society.

The above mentioned position of law has been reiterated and has been consistently held in currency by the Hon'ble Supreme Court and Co-ordinate Benches of this Court.

8. In light of the above, this Court finds that the dispute has been amicably resolved. The complainant has no subsisting grievance against the petitioners and hence, as there is no possibility of conviction, allowing such criminal proceedings to continue would be nothing but an abuse of the process of law and thus, it would be in the interest of justice to exercise the inherent powers as vested upon this Court under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

9. Resultantly, this criminal miscellaneous petition is allowed and the FIR No.0287/2025 dated 16.10.2025 registered at Police Station Alwar Gate, Ajmer, along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed and set aside.

10. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(ANUROOP SINGHI),J