

  
**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN**  
**BENCH AT JAIPUR**

S.B. Civil Contempt Petition No. 689/2026

Pooja Dave W/o Rahul Dave, Aged About 42 Years, R/o Gali No. 7 Narsinghpura, Faisagar Road, Ajmer.

-----Petitioner

Versus

Manish Sharma (R.P.S.), Investigation Officer, Circle Office IV, Kota City, Kota, Rajasthan. At Present Girnar Colony, Gandhi Path, Vaishali Nagar, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

-----Respondent

---

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. T.C. Sharma  
For Respondent(s) :

---

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANAND SHARMA**

**Order**

**01/05/2026**

1. Instant contempt petition has been filed by the petitioner with following prayer:

*"It is therefore humbly prayed that Your Lordships may kindly be pleased to accept and allow this contempt petition and punish the contemnor respondent under the provisions of the Contempt Of Court Act 1971.*

*Any other appropriate order or direction which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in favour of the petitioner may kindly be passed with cost."*

2. This Court finds that the prayer of the contempt petition as well as the subject matter is totally defective, as nothing has been mentioned either in the prayer or in the subject matter to specify that the petitioner is alleging contempt of which order or direction passed by the Court.

3. When this Court pointed out the aforesaid defects in the contempt petition, instead of responding to the query of the Court, Mr. T.C. Sharma, learned counsel, audaciously started shouting

that the Court is supposed to be aware of all the orders passed by Hon'ble Supreme Court, therefore, the petitioner is not required to enclose the order, of which contempt has been alleged by the petitioner.

4. Despite repeated warnings, learned counsel for the petitioner rudely raised his voice and attempted to bully this Court. This Court could have taken serious action against the learned counsel for the petitioner for his contemptuous conduct, however, taking a lenient view, at this stage, no action is being initiated against him.

5. In view of above, where the contempt petition is totally vague and defective, and the order alleged to have been flouted has neither been annexed with the petition, nor the operative portion thereof has been reproduced in the entire petition, this Court finds that the contempt petition filed by the petitioner is totally misconceived, hence, the contempt petition filed by the petitioner, is hereby, dismissed.

6. This Court during the course of hearing also called for explanation of the concerned clerk of this Court, who passed such defective petition. Explanation given by the concerned clerk is taken on record.

(ANAND SHARMA),J