




**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN  
BENCH AT JAIPUR**



S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 6468/2026

Devlal S/o Gokulram, Aged About 43 Years, R/o Dhani Kalyansinghpura, Botunda, Police Station Todaraisingh, Distt. Tonk. (At Present Accused Petitioner Confined In Distt. Jail Tonk)

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

-----Respondent

---

|                   |   |                                                                             |
|-------------------|---|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| For Petitioner(s) | : | Mr. Vijendra Yadav                                                          |
| For Respondent(s) | : | Mr. Jaiprakash Tiwari, PP<br>Ms. Vijay Laxmi Jangid for<br>Mr. Suresh Kumar |

---

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAMIL KUMAR MATHUR**

**Order**

**06/05/2026**

1. The petitioner has preferred this bail application under Section 483 of BNSS in FIR No.198/2025 registered at Police Station Deoli, District Tonk for offences under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of IPC, 1860.
2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned Public Prosecutor as well as learned counsel for the complainant and perused the material available on record.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. He further submits that the petitioner has not been named in the FIR and person named in FIR as attesting witness Gajendra has already been enlarged on bail by this Court vide order dated 22.04.2026. No specific role has been assigned to the present petitioner. The case of the



petitioner is on better footing. Petitioner is behind the bars since 28.02.2026 though one case has been reported against him but in that case he is already on bail. The trial of the case will take considerable time, therefore, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in further custody and on the ground of parity with other co-accused, the bail application of the petitioner may be allowed.

4. Learned Public Prosecutor and learned counsel for the complainant have vehemently opposed the bail application.

5. On consideration of the rival submissions and material available on record and in light of submission made on behalf of petitioner but without expressing any opinion on merits/demerits of the case, I am inclined to grant benefit of bail to the petitioner.

6. Consequently, the bail application under Section 483 B.N.S.S. is allowed and it is directed that the petitioner **Devlal S/o Gokulram**, be released on bail provided he furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with two sureties in the sum of Rs.25,000/- each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court with the stipulation that he shall appear before that Court on all subsequent dates of hearing till conclusion of the trial.

(PRAMIL KUMAR MATHUR),J