

RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT

सत्यमेव जयते

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 6234/2026

Amarjeet Kochar @ Nonu S/o Shri Harish Arora, Aged About 21 Years, R/o Near Renu Public School, Karamchari Colony, Barodamev, Police Station Barodamev, District Alwar (Rajasthan) (At present Central Jail, Alwar).

-----Petitioner

Versus

The State of Rajasthan, Through PP

-----Respondent

Connected With

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 6988/2026

Praveen Kumar S/o Ajaypal Gurjar, Aged About 27 Years, R/o Ashoka Talkies, Purana Post Office Ke Samne, Chhaju Singh Ki Gali, Police Station Akhepura, District Alwar (Rajasthan). (Accused petitioner confined in Central Jail Alwar).

-----Petitioner

Versus

The State of Rajasthan, Through PP

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Rajesh Kapoor Mr. Prakash Thakuriya
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Amit Poonia, PP Mr. DK Dixit

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI CHIRANIA (V.J.)

Order

25/06/2026

1. The instant bail applications have been filed under Section 483 BNSS, 2023 by the petitioners Amarjeet Kochar @ Nonu S/o Shri Harish Arora and Praveen Kumar S/o Ajaypal Gurjar, who were arrested by the police in connection with the FIR No.186/2026 dated 10.04.2026 registered at Police Station

Kotwali Alwar, District Alwar for the offences punishable under Section 326(g), 196(2), 298, 299, 61(2) of BNS, 2023.

2. Learned counsel submits that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in the present case on the basis of a CCTV footage of throwing a petrol bomb at a Mosque. Learned counsel further submits that in this regard, complainant mentioned their names on the basis of self-assessment of the faces and the photographs as were prepared on the basis of a CCTV footage. It is further submitted that face of none of the person alleged to have committed the offence could be identified therefore, merely on the basis of an assumption, it cannot be said that they were present at the time of alleged incident. In view thereof, counsel prays that the petitioners may be enlarged on bail.

3. Learned Public Prosecutor as well as learned counsel for the complainant strongly oppose the bail application.

4. This Court took in consideration the photographs shown by the learned Public Prosecutor of the day of incident, however, the faces of the persons therein were fully covered with scarves, due to which identity of those persons cannot be ascertained. The complainant may have named them because a day prior to the lodging of present FIR, another incident happened in the same area, i.e. on 09.04.2026, for which FIR was registered on 10.04.2026 at 02:53 A.M., due to which acquaintance/identity of the petitioners with the complainant cannot be ruled out. It appears that maybe on account of social disturbance in the area the complainant may have named the petitioners in the FIR.

5. This court fails to find any justification in the arguments as raised by the learned Public Prosecutor as well as learned counsel

for the complainant regarding their presence at the place of incident, specific role and involvement. In view of the above, this Court deems it just and proper to grant bail to the present petitioners.

6. Accordingly, the bail application filed under Section 483 BNSS is hereby allowed and it is directed that accused-petitioners **Amarjeet Kochar @ Nonu S/o Shri Harish Arora and Praveen Kumar S/o Ajaypal Gurjar** shall be released on bail in connection with the FIR No.186/2026, dated 10.04.2026, Police Station Kotwali Alwar, District Alwar, provided each of them execute a personal bond in a sum of Rs.1,00,000/- with two sound and solvent sureties of Rs.50,000/- each to the satisfaction of learned Trial Court for their appearance before that Court on each and every date of hearing and whenever called upon to do so till the completion of the trial.

7. The petitioners shall also mark their presence twice, a month (i.e. after every 15 days) at the concerned Police Station. The concerned SHO shall maintain a register of their his attendance. In case the petitioners do not mark their presence in any month (i.e. two times in a month), same would be a breach of this order. In that situation, learned Public Prosecutor shall file application for cancellation of this bail without reference to this Court.

8. Needless to say, observations made hereinabove, if any, on merits of the matter are purely for the purposes of adjudicating the present application and shall not be construed as expression on merits therein.

(RAVI CHIRANIA (V.J.)),J