



**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR**

D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 7832/2019
CNR: RJHC020382522019 | URN: CW / 13818U / 2019

Lalit Kumar Sukhlecha S/o Sh. Chand Mal Sukhlecha, Aged
About 59 Years, Presently Working As Deputy Manager, Rfc, R/o
A-34, Model Town, Malviya Nagar, Jaipur Rajasthan.
-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary, Bureau Of
Public Enterprises, Government Of Rajasthan,
Government Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. Chairman, Rajasthan Financial Corporation, Udyog
Bhawan, Tilak Marg, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
3. Managing Director, Rajasthan Financial Corporation,
Udyog Bhawan, Tilak Marg, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

-----Respondents

Connected With

D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 8301/2019
CNR: RJHC020406332019 | URN: CW / 14549U / 2019

Connected With

D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 8305/2019
CNR: RJHC020406292019 | URN: CW / 14561U / 2019

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Amit Puri Mr. Kushagra Agarwal
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Virendra Lodha, Sr. Adv. assisted by Mr. Ankit Rathore Mr. Dinesh Kumar

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR**

Order(Oral)

20/08/2026

Per: Arun Monga, J.

1. This bunch of petitions seeks quashing of the Notification dated 16.08.2018 issued by the Rajasthan Financial Corporation. By that notification, the pay scales were revised retrospectively with effect from 01.09.2006. The petitioners contend that the revision has adversely affected their ACP benefits. They further seek grant of the second and third ACP benefits in accordance with their respective entitlements.

2. It transpires that during the pendency of these petitions, the grievance of the petitioners regarding non grant of ACP has been redressed. This is borne out by the order dated 25.01.2023 and by the subsequent order dated 26.11.2025. Both orders are reproduced below.

Order dated 25.01.2023

“Learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners have been finally granted benefits of Assured Career Progression (ACP).

List these matters after three weeks.”

Order dated 26.11.2025

“1. None appears for the petitioners.

2. It has been recorded in the order dated 25.01.2023 that the petitioners have been finally granted the benefits of Assured Career Progression (ACP). It is noted that the prayer in the writ petitions is also for grant of benefits of second and third ACP to the petitioners.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners shall make a definite stand as to whether the relief, if any, survives or not.

4. List the matters on 10.12.2025.”

3. On resumed hearing, learned counsel for the petitioners does not deny that the benefit of the second and third ACP has in fact been granted. He submits, however, that a computational error has crept in, and that the petitioners have been placed in the wrong pay grade. If that be so, it is open to the petitioners to point out the error by making an appropriate representation to the respondents.

4. The relief claimed in substance has thus already been granted. What survives is a grievance confined to computation.

5. In the premise, the petitioners are granted liberty to approach the competent authority of the respondents by filing an appropriate representation. They may set out their grievance in detail, along with the computation they consider to be correct. The competent authority shall examine the same and decide it by a speaking order.

6. If the petitioners succeed on their version of computation i.e. in persuading the administrative authority, the arrears shall be disbursed to them as expeditiously as possible. That exercise shall in any event be completed within three months from the date of the speaking order.

7. All pending applications also stand disposed of.

(ASHUTOSH KUMAR),J

(ARUN MONGA),J

35-37/AARZOO/TUSHAR