



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous 2nd Bail Application No. 6277/2026

Deepak Jain @ R.k. Agarwal S/o Shri Jinendra Kumar Jain, Aged About 50 Years, R/o House No. 22, Saidham, Yamuna Vihar, Kamla Nagar, Agra, Presently R/o House No. 33/02, Renuka Dham Colony, Karmyogi, Kamla Nagar, Police Station Kamla Nagar, District Agra, Uttar Pradesh. (At Present Confined In Central Jail Jaipur).

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through PP

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Rajeev Kumar Sogarwal Ms. Aayushi Jain
For Respondent(s)	:	Ms. Arti Sharma, PP
For Complainant(s)	:	Mr. Anil Kumar Tailor Ms. Swati Tank Mr. Manish Yadav

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPMAN

Order

04/05/2026

1. This second bail application under Section 483 of BNSS has been filed on behalf of the petitioner, who has been arrested in connection with FIR No.350/2023 registered at Police Station Murlipura, District Jaipur (West) (Raj.) for the offences punishable under Sections 420, 406 & 120B of IPC. After completion of investigation, police filed charge-sheet in this matter for the offences punishable under Sections 420, 406, 201, 467, 468, 471 & 120B of IPC.

2. The first bail application filed on behalf of the petitioner was dismissed on merits by this court vide order dated 15.09.2025.



Now this second bail application has been preferred by the petitioner.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. He submits that first bail application of the petitioner was dismissed by this Court on the ground that similar situated co-accused persons namely Sunit Sharma @ Abhishek & Kamal Kishore Meena @ Montu Meena have been denied benefit of bail by the co-ordinate Bench of this Court as well as by the Hon'ble Supreme Court. It is submitted that now, Sunit Sharma @ Abhishek has been granted benefit of bail by the Hon'ble Supreme Court vide order dated 06.04.2026, considering the period of incarceration and the fact that trial is not proceeding as the complainant-PW-1 is not getting himself completely examined. Counsel submits that another co-accused-Kamal Kishore Meena @ Montu Meena has been granted benefit of bail by the learned trial Court vide order dated 16.04.2026. It is contended by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the alleged offences are triable by Magistrate and trial will take considerable time in its conclusion. Counsel argues that petitioner is in custody since 20.03.2025 and there are no criminal antecedents against him. Further custody of the petitioner would not serve any fruitful purpose.

4. Learned Public Prosecutor assisted by learned counsel for the complainant vehemently opposes the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner. They submit that there is a serious allegation against the petitioner of receiving a large sum of money from the victims under the false pretext of getting them



Government employment.

5. I have considered the contentions.

6. Having regard to the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case; considering the arguments advanced by learned counsel for the parties as well as considering the material available on record, especially the fact that alleged offences are triable by Magistrate and aforementioned co-accused persons have been granted benefit of bail by the learned trial Court as well as by the Hon'ble Supreme Court; trial will take considerable time in its conclusion and absence of criminal antecedents as well as looking to the period of custody which is more than one year, but without commenting anything on the merits/demerits of the case, I deem it fit and proper to allow the second bail application.

7. This second bail application is accordingly allowed and it is directed that accused-petitioner **Deepak Jain @ R.k. Agarwal S/o Shri Jinendra Kumar Jain** shall be released on bail provided he furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.1,00,000/- (Rupees One Lakh only) together with two sureties in the sum of Rs.50,000/- (Rupees Fifty Thousand only) each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court with the stipulation that he shall appear before that Court and any court to which the matter is transferred, on all subsequent dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so.

8. It is made clear that the accused petitioner shall not involve in any other offence during currency of the bail. If breach of this condition is reported or come to the notice of the Court, the same



shall alone be a reason for the trial Court to cancel the bail granted to the petitioner by this Court.

9. The observations made hereinabove are only for decision of the bail application and would not have any impact on the trial of the case in any manner.

(ANIL KUMAR UPMAN),J