

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 7236/2026

Kajal Meemroth D/o Shri Bharat Singh Meena, Aged About 26 Years, Resident Of Village Khedla Gadali, Post Handiya, Tehsil And District Dausa, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. Rajasthan Staff Selection Board (RSSB), Jaipur through its Chairman
2. State Of Rajasthan, Through Principal Secretary, Medical And Health Department, Jaipur
3. Mass Computronix Services (Delhi E-Mitra), E-31 Malka Ganj, Delhi 110007 Through Its Operator Harneet Singh. (Masscomputronix@gmail.com)

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Himanshu Tholia with Mr. Abhishek Singh Devanda
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Prakhar Gupta with Mr. Sanjay Sharma on behalf of Mr. Kartikey Sharma

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI CHIRANIA

Order

28/04/2026

1. The petitioner has filed the instant writ petition with the following prayer:-

"(i) Issue an appropriate writ, order or direction in the nature of, to quashing and setting aside the email communication/order dated (Annexure-9) issued by the respondent Board, whereby the request of the petitioner for correction of her category from Scheduled Caste (SC) to Scheduled Tribe (ST) has been

rejected, and declare the same to be illegal, arbitrary, and unsustainable in law;

(ii) Issue an appropriate writ, order or direction, including a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to correct the category of the petitioner from Scheduled Caste (SC) to Scheduled Tribe (ST) in the application form and in all consequential records pertaining to the recruitment titled "Direct Recruitment of Contractual AYUSH Officer (Ayurveda/ Homeopathy/ Unani) of NHM – 2025";

(iii) Direct the respondents to allow the petitioner to participate in the document verification process under the Scheduled Tribe (ST) category, and not to reject her candidature on account of the erroneous mention of category in the application form;

(iv) Issue an appropriate writ, order or direction directing the respondents to consider the candidature of the petitioner under the Scheduled Tribe (ST) category for all purposes, including merit list, document verification, and final selection;

(v) Further direct the respondents to consider the petitioner for final selection and appointment strictly in accordance with her merit under the Scheduled Tribe (ST) category, if she is otherwise found eligible;

(vi) Pass any other appropriate writ, order or direction which this Hon'ble Court may deem just and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case."

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner filled the application form for the post of 'Contractual Ayush Officer (Ayurveda/ Homoeopathy/ Unani)' in which she mentioned her category as Scheduled Caste (hereinafter to be referred as '**SC**

category'), whereas, she belongs to Scheduled Tribe (hereinafter to be referred as '**ST category**'). Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner has a certificate of ST category, whereas, due to a mistake committed by the e-mitra operator, her category was wrongly recorded as SC category instead of ST category.

3. Learned counsel submits that the petitioner submitted the application form on 24.10.2025, however, the result was declared on 10.04.2026 and much before the declaration of the result, the she approached the respondent-Rajasthan Staff Selection Board (hereinafter to be referred as '**respondent-Board**') with a request for change of the category and the same was not considered, therefore, she has now approached this Court with the same grievance as the process of document verification is going on.

4. **Per contra**, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent-Board strongly opposed the prayer made by the petitioner by submitting that the Co-ordinate Bench as well as this Court in similar controversies with respect to change in category has not accepted the grievances and dismissed the respective writ petitions.

5. This Court heard learned counsel for both the parties and noted that the petitioner submitted the application form on 24.10.2025, wherein, she mentioned her category as SC category, whereas, admittedly she belongs to ST category.

6. It is not in dispute that much before the declaration of the result she submitted the application dated 23.12.2025 before the respondent-Board for change of her category and after almost three and a half months the result was declared on 10.04.2026.

There is no dispute as to the fact that it not merely on account of declaration of result she made an attempt/prayer for change in her category.

7. This Court is of the view that even in case the petitioner is not allowed to change her category, which is SC category as per the application, then also the respondents will not consider her candidature in the selection process as during the document verification she will not give a certificate of the same category, i.e., SC category as her certificate is of ST category.

8. This Court finds that the aforementioned mistake appears to be purely a human error for which the petitioner should not suffer, more specifically, when she belongs to the category for which she had the certificate issued way back in 2016.

9. In view of the above, this Court finds merits in the present writ petition and the same deserves to be allowed and the action of the respondent-Board of denying to change the category of the petitioner is declared illegal and the same is quashed and set aside.

10. Accordingly, this writ petition is allowed.

11. The respondent-Board is directed to allow the petitioner to change her category from SC category to ST category and consider her candidature and allow her to appear in the further stages of the selection process. The petitioner shall also be entitled for all consequential and notional benefits in accordance with law.

12. All pending application(s), if any, stand disposed of.

(RAVI CHIRANIA),J