

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 7289/2020

Kamlesh Prasad Meena S/o Shri Raju Lal Meena, Aged About 48 Years, R/o Village And Post Bhilapada, Tehsil Nadauti, District Karauli.

-----Petitioner

Versus

- 1 The State Of Rajasthan, Through Principal Secretary Education Department, Govt. Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.
- 2 Rajasthan Employee Selection Board, Jaipur Through Chairman State Agriculture Management Institution Premises, Durgapura, Jaipur.
- 3 Director, Secondary Education, Rajasthan, Bikaner (Raj.)
- 4 National Council For Teacher Education, G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075 Through Its Regional Director, Northern Region.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. R.D. Meena

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Devansh Sharma, Dy. GC

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR JAIN

Order

18/02/2026

1. Instant writ petition is preferred by petitioner with following prayer:

"It is, therefore, humbly prayed that Your Lordships may graciously be pleased to accept and allow this writ petition;

(I) By an appropriate writ, order of direction in the nature thereof the respondents may kindly be directed to consider the qualification of BPE-3 years degree course as valid qualification for appointment to the post of PTI pursuant to the

notification dated 12.11.2014 issued by NCTE;

ii) By an appropriate writ, order of direction in the nature thereof the respondents may also be directed to give appointment to the petitioner on the post of PTI Grade-III looking to his higher marks than the cut off marks pursuant to advertisement dated 4.5.2018 with all consequential benefits"

2. Learned counsel for petitioner while referring and relying upon judgment dated **27.11.2025 in D.B. Special Appeal (Writ) No. 152/2023 titled as "Bimala Kumari Vs. The State of Rajasthan & Ors. and other connected matters)** submits that the controversy and the issue raised herein is already settled by a Division Bench of this Hon'ble Court. He further submitted that instant writ petition be disposed of in accordance with aforesaid order.
3. Having considered the submissions of learned counsel for petitioner and also material placed on record, the writ petition may be disposed in light of **Bimala Kumari Vs. The State of Rajasthan (supra)** passed by the Division Bench of this Court. Order accordingly.
4. Needless to say that if ratio is applicable upon facts of the case as claimed by the petitioner, then same benefits be extended.
5. With the aforesaid directions, the present writ petition with pending application, if any, stands disposed of.

(ASHOK KUMAR JAIN),J