



**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR**



S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous (Petition) No. 2607/2025

1. Mayank Saini S/o Raj Kumar Saini, Aged About 29 Years, R/o Ward No. 8, Malsisar, District - Jhunjhunu, Rajasthan, Present R/o Plot No. 3, Pavanpuri, C Block, Charan Nadi, Jwala Mata Temple, Benad Road, District Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. Shyama Saini W/o Raj Kumar Saini, Aged About 56 Years, R/o Ward No. 8, Malsisar, District - Jhunjhunu, Rajasthan.
3. Raj Kumar Saini S/o Nath Mal Saini, Aged About 57 Years, R/o Ward No.8, Malsisar, District - Jhunjhunu, Rajasthan.
4. Vishnu Kumar Saini S/o Jhabar Mal Saini, Aged About 50 Years, R/o Kayasthpura, District - Jhunjhunu, Rajasthan.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan, Through Its Public Prosecutor.
2. Meva Devi S/o Mohanlal Saini, Aged About 47 Years, R/o Ward No. 25, Post - Surajgarh, District Jhunjhunu, Rajasthan, Present R/o Plot No. 10, Ganesh Nagar Second, Behind Balaji College, Benad Road, District - Jaipur, Rajasthan.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Kuldeep Singh, Adv. (through VC) Mr. Puneet, Adv.
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Manvendra Singh Shekhawat, PP Mr. Niranjan Kataria, Adv.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BHUWAN GOYAL

Order

25/05/2026

1. This criminal misc. petition has been filed by the petitioners under Section 528 BNSS for quashing the FIR No. 84/2025 registered at Police Station Sadar, Jaipur (West) for offence under



Sections 420, 406 and 120-B IPC and the consequential proceedings arising out of the impugned FIR.

2. The petitioners are present through video conferencing, whereas the respondent No.2 is present in person today in the court. Her signature has been obtained on the court's order sheet and she has been duly identified by her counsel.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the dispute between the parties is personal in nature and both the parties have entered into a compromise. The original compromise duly signed by both the parties and notarized by Notary Public has been filed with the petition. Learned counsel further submits that in light of the judgments passed by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of **Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab** reported in 2012 (10) SCC 303 and **The State of Madhya Pradesh vs. Laxmi Narayan** reported in 2019 (5) SCC 688, the impugned FIR and the consequential proceedings be quashed on the basis of the compromise arrived at between the parties.

4. Learned counsel for the respondent No.2 also admits the factum of compromise and submits that the complainant does not want to prosecute the petitioners further in the matter. He has no objection if the impugned FIR and the consequential proceedings are quashed and set-aside.

5. Per contra, learned Public Prosecutor has opposed the prayer made by counsel for the petitioners.

6. Heard learned counsel for the parties, considered the submissions made at the Bar and perused the material available on the record.



7. Considering the arguments put forward by learned counsel for the parties and looking to the fact that the dispute between the parties is personal in nature, the complainant does not want to prosecute the petitioners further in this matter, a compromise has been arrived at between the parties, and also applying the principles laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of Gian Singh (supra) and Laxmi Narayan (supra), this Court deems it just and proper to invoke the inherent powers of this Court contained under Section 528 BNSS.

8. Accordingly, this criminal misc. petition is allowed. The impugned FIR No. 84/2025 registered at Police Station Sadar Jaipur (West) and the consequential proceedings arising out of the aforesaid FIR are hereby quashed and set-aside on the basis of compromise.

9. Stay application as well as all pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(BHUWAN GOYAL),J