



2026:RJ-JP:22196

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous (Petition) No. 2607/2025

1. Mayank Saini S/o Raj Kumar Saini, Aged About 29 Years, R/o Ward No. 8, Malsisar, District - Jhunjhunu, Rajasthan, Present R/o Plot No. 3, Pavanpuri, C Block, Charan Nadi, Jwala Mata Temple, Benad Road, District Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. Shyama Saini W/o Raj Kumar Saini, Aged About 56 Years, R/o Ward No. 8, Malsisar, District - Jhunjhunu, Rajasthan.
3. Raj Kumar Saini S/o Nath Mal Saini, Aged About 57 Years, R/o Ward No.8, Malsisar, District - Jhunjhunu, Rajasthan.
4. Vishnu Kumar Saini S/o Jhabar Mal Saini, Aged About 50 Years, R/o Kayasthpura, District - Jhunjhunu, Rajasthan.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Public Prosecutor.
2. Meva Devi S/o Mohanlal Saini, Aged About 47 Years, R/o Ward No. 25, Post - Surajgarh, District Jhunjhunu, Rajasthan, Present R/o Plot No. 10, Ganesh Nagar Second, Behind Balaji College, Benad Road, District - Jaipur, Rajasthan.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Kuldeep Singh, Adv.
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Shree Ram Dhakar, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAVEER BHATNAGAR

Order

16/05/2025

1. The present petition has been preferred under Section 528 of BNSS for quashing the FIR No.84/2025, registered at Police Station Sadar Jaipur, District Jaipur (West) for offences under Sections 420, 406 and 120-B of IPC.



2. It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioners that the petitioner No.2 entered into an agreement to sale with respondent No.2-Meva Devi on 10.08.2023. Thereafter, respondent No.2 Meva Devi served a legal notice upon petitioner Nos.1 and 2 dated 27.01.2025 and notice was replied on 31.01.2025. Instead of filing the suit for specific performance, the respondent No.2 has filed the instant FIR against the petitioners alleging cheating. It is also contended that the dispute between the parties is purely of civil nature and has been given criminal colour, therefore, till further orders the petitioners may be protected.

3. Learned Public Prosecutor seeks time to furnish the factual report.

4. Issue notices to respondent No.2, subject to deposition of required requisites.

5. Heard and perused the material available on record.

6. It is not desirable to express anything on the merits of the case. Considering the arguments advanced by learned counsel for the petitioners, I deem it proper to direct the concerned S.H.O./Investigating Officer not to take any coercive steps against the petitioners in the FIR No.84/2025, registered at Police Station Sadar Jaipur, District Jaipur (West) for offences under Sections 420, 406 and 120-B of IPC. The petitioners are also directed to appear before the concerned Investigating Officer as and when called for.

7. Learned Public Prosecutor is directed to call for the factual report.



[CRLMP-2607/



2026:RJ-JP:22196

8. List the matter on 08.07.2025, till then, the petitioners shall not be arrested.

(PRAVEER BHATNAGAR),J

Ashwani Kr Srivastava/-46