



**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR**



S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 6149/2026

Manoj Alais Mauja S/o Krishna Kumar, R/o Naisarana, Police Station, Behror Sadar, District Kotputli Behror At Present Lodged In The Sub Jail, Behror.

-----Petitioner

Versus

State of Rajasthan, through PP

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Ashvin Garg
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Vijay Singh Yadav, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GANESH RAM MEENA

Order

24/04/2026

1. This bail application has been filed by the accused petitioner u/S 483 BNSS in connection with FIR No. 155/2025 registered at Police Station Shahjahanpur, District Kotputli-Behror for offence u/S 103(1), 140(1), 238(a) & 189(2) of the BNS.

2. Learned counsel for the accused petitioner submits that the accused petitioner has been implicated in this case with the allegation that co-accused brought apple cellphone to them and after unlocking the same, he made online money transactions from that phone. He further submits that as per the facts and evidence on record, there is no role of the accused petitioner in committing the murder of the deceased- Ashok and Vikas. He further submits that the accused petitioner is in custody since 01.10.2025 and co-accused Pawan has already been enlarged on



bail and the case of the present accused petitioner is in no manner distinguishable from the case of co-accused Pawan.

3. Learned Public Prosecutor vehemently opposed the bail application and submits that there is an evidence on record which speaks that the accused petitioner had made money transactions from the cellphone of the deceased, which was brought to him by the co-accused.

4. Considered the submissions made by learned counsel for the accused petitioner as well as learned Public Prosecutor and also perused the challan papers.

5. As per the investigation report, the allegation against the accused petitioner is that the co-accused namely- Chandra Prakash brought a cellphone to them for unlocking the same. After unlocking the cellphone, some money transactions are said to be made from that cellphone. As per the conclusion of the investigation, there is no role of the accused petitioner in committing the murder of the deceased and allegation against are confined to the fact that he, after unlocking the cellphone, made money transactions.

6. Taking into consideration the overall facts and circumstances of the case so also the allegation against the accused petitioner as discussed and so also to maintain the parity as the allegation against the co-accused are of same nature, this Court, without expressing any opinion on merits or demerits of this case, deems just and proper to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

7. Accordingly, this bail application is allowed and it is directed that accused-petitioner shall be released on bail provided that he furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs. 1,00,000/- (Rupees



One Lac Only), together with two sureties in the sum of Rs. 50,000/- (Rupees Fifty Thousand Only)(each) to the satisfaction of the Trial Court with the stipulation that he shall appear before that Court or any Court to which the matter is transferred, on all subsequent dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so.

(GANESH RAM MEENA),J