

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 6011/2026

Balbir S/o Barmaram, Aged About 33 Years, R/o Solawta, Police Station Narena, District Jaipur. (Petitioner Is Confined In Sambhar Lake, Jaipur).

-----Accused/Petitioner

Versus

The State Of Rajasthan, through P.P.

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Dinesh Pareek Mr. Sarosh Khan Mr. Vijendra Pareek
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Tapesw Agarwal, PP

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SANGEETA SHARMA

Order

29/04/2026

1. This bail application has been filed on behalf of the accused-petitioner under Section 483 of B.N.S.S. in connection with FIR No. 202/2021 registered at Police Station Jobner, District Jaipur(Rural) for the offence(s) under Section(s) 143, 341, 323, 308, 188, 379 of IPC and Sections 4 and 5 of Rajasthan Epidemic Diseases Act, 2020.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and the injuries sustained by the injured person are neither fatal nor dangerous to life. Learned counsel also contends that the petitioner is in custody since 03.04.2026. He further submits that co-accused Dinesh, Rahul Choudhary, Bhagchand, Radheshyam, Narsilal Bhateshwar , Vijay Choudhary and Sahil Choudhary have been enlarged on bail

by Court vide orders dated 10.03.2026, 13.01.2026, 01.09.2025, 28.06.2022 & 08.09.2021 in S.B. Criminal Misc. Bail Application Nos.3365/2026, 405/2026, 10043/2025, 8729/2022, 12000/2021 & 14579/2021 and conclusion of trial may take long time, so no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in custody and therefore, prays that the petitioner may be granted the benefit of bail under Section 483 of BNSS.

3. *Per contra*, learned Public Prosecutor vehemently opposes the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner hereinabove and submits that there are criminal antecedents against accused-petitioner Balbir S/o Barmaram.

4. Having regard to the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case and considering the arguments advanced by learned counsel for the petitioner that petitioner is in custody since 03.04.2026; co-accused Dinesh, Rahul Choudhary, Bhagchand, Radheshyam, Narsilal Bhateshwar, Vijay Choudhary and Sahil Choudhary have been enlarged on bail by Court vide orders dated 10.03.2026, 13.01.2026, 01.09.2025, 28.06.2022 & 08.09.2021 in S.B. Criminal Misc. Bail Application Nos.3365/2026, 405/2026, 10043/2025, 8729/2022, 12000/2021 & 14579/2021 and trial will take its own time. Hence, this Court without expressing any opinion on the merits/demerits of the case deems it just and proper to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

5. Therefore, this bail application under Section 483 BNSS is accordingly, **allowed** and the accused-petitioner **Balbir S/o Barmaram** is ordered to be released on bail, provided he furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs. 1,00,000/- with two sureties of Rs. 50,000/- each to the satisfaction of the trial Court,

with the stipulation that he shall appear before that Court and any court to which the matter is transferred on all subsequent dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so.

6. It is made clear that the accused-petitioner shall not be involved in any other offence(s) during currency of the bail and he shall mark his presence on 1st and 15th day of every month in the concerned police station.

7. In case of any breach of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Public Prosecutor shall be free to move the application against the accused-petitioner for cancellation of the bail before the concerned Court.

8. The observation made hereinabove is only for decision of the instant bail application and would not have any impact on the trial of the case in any manner.

9. Office is directed to send a copy of this order to the concerned trial Court through e-mail/fax, for necessary compliance.

(SANGEETA SHARMA),J