




**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR**



S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous 2nd Bail Application No. 6021/2026

Abbas S/o Nasru, R/o Ghatmika, Police Station Pahari, District Bharatpur. (At Present Accused Petitioner Confined In Central Jail Sear, Bharatpur).

-----Petitioner

Versus

The State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Ankit Khandelwal
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Jaiprakash Tiwari, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAMIL KUMAR MATHUR

Order

20/04/2026

1. The petitioner has filed this application under Section 483 of BNSS in FIR No.593/2014 registered at Police Station Nadbai, District Bharatpur for offence under Sections 332, 353 of IPC, Section 3/25 of Arms Act and Sections 5, 9 of RBA Act, 1995 praying for grant of bail in a case wherein earlier the petitioner was released on bail, however, he failed to appear before the trial Court on the scheduled dates and his bail bonds were forfeited on 02.09.2021 and consequently standing warrant was issued against him.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned Public Prosecutor and perused the material available on record.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the absence of the accused was neither intentional nor deliberate. He again submits that petitioner is a daily wager and completely



dependent on the day to day earning. Therefore, due to earning his livelihood he could not attend the Court on stipulated dates. The accused has now been taken in the custody in pursuance of the standing arrest warrant on 17.02.2026. Though the absence from the Court is considerable but the charges against the petitioner pertains under Sections 332, 353 of IPC, Section 3/25 of Arms Act and Sections 5, 9 of RBA Act, 1995. Now petitioner undertakes to appear on each and every date of hearing in future. Therefore, in the interest of justice the bail application of the petitioner may be allowed.

4. Learned Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the bail application.

5. On consideration of the rival submissions and material available on record and the explanation furnished by learned counsel for the petitioner and nature of case but without expressing any opinion on merits/demerits of the case, I am inclined to grant benefit of bail to the petitioner, this Court is of the view that one more opportunity may be granted to petitioner to face trial.

6. Consequently, the 2nd bail application under Section 483 B.N.S.S. is allowed and it is directed that the petitioner **Abbas S/o Nasru**, be released on bail provided he furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with two sureties in the sum of Rs.25,000/- each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court with the stipulation that he shall appear before that Court on all subsequent dates of hearing till conclusion of the trial.

(PRAMIL KUMAR MATHUR),J