



**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR**



S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 5938/2026

1. Dharamveer S/o Ghanshyam, Aged About 30 Years, R/o Maksudpur, Police Station Chandhat, District Palwal, Haryana. (At Present In Sub Jail Kishangarhbas).
2. Narendra S/o Antram, Aged About 31 Years, R/o Balai Police Station Chandhat, District Palwal, Haryana. (At Present In Sub Jail Kishangarhbas).

----Petitioners

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

----Respondent

Connected With

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 5939/2026

Pradeep S/o Deviram, Aged About 30 Years, R/o Kulaina, Police Station Chandhat, District Palwal, Haryana. (At Present In Sub Jail, Kishangarhbas).

----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Abhay Nath Deora
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Vijay Singh Yadav, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GANESH RAM MEENA

Order

24/04/2026

1. The instant bail applications have been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 on behalf of accused-petitioners in connection with FIR No.54/2026 registered at Police Station Kotkasim, District Khairthal-Tijara for the offences under Sections 140(3) and 140(2) of BNS, 2023.



2. As per facts on record, FIRs have been registered with the allegation that brother of the complainant named, Sonu, was doing the work of Horse-drawn carriage and on 23.02.2026 when some persons came at the flat of his brother where they met with the tenant, namely, Manju, and told her that they want to book horse-drawn, on which, she made a call to Sonu and requested to come to flat. Thereafter, Sonu alongwith Ramavatar came at his flat on motorcycle where one person was standing and 3-4 persons were standing at some distance from where they took his brother in a car, forcibly.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the accused-petitioners have been implicated in this case based on false and fabricated facts. He further submits that co-accused namely Pradeep S/o Shyoraj and Anil have already been enlarged on bail by this Court. He further submits that the accused-petitioners are in custody since 27.02.2026 and they are no more required for any kind of interrogation or recovery.

4. Learned Public Prosecutor vehemently opposed the bail applications.

5. Considered the submissions made by counsel for the petitioners as well as learned Public Prosecutor and also perused the material made available on record.

6. Taking into consideration overall facts and circumstances of the case and so also the fact that the accused-petitioners are in custody for last more than one and half months and co-accused namely Pradeep S/o Shyoraj and Anil have already been enlarged on bail by this Court, this Court without expressing any opinion on



the merits or demerits of the case deems just and proper to enlarge the accused-petitioners on bail.

7. Accordingly, both the bail applications filed under Section 483 BNSS are allowed and it is ordered that the accused-petitioners named above shall be enlarged on bail provided, each of them furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.1,00,000/- together with two sureties of Rs.50,000/- each of them to the satisfaction of the learned trial Judge for their appearance before the Court concerned on all the dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so.

8. A copy of this order be placed in connected bail application.

(GANESH RAM MEENA),J