

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 5912/2026
URN: CRLMB / 10581U / 2026

Shaitan Singh S/o Uttam Singh, Aged About 43 Years, R/o Village Post Sagara, Police Station Dechu, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan. (That The Accused Petitioner Is Currently Confined At Central Jail, Jodhpur In Judicial Custody Since 28.11.2024).

----Accused-Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Umang Jain Mr. Anuj Rohila
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Naresh Gupta, P.P.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BIPIN GUPTA

Judgment / Order

30/06/2026

1. The present bail application has been filed under Section 483 BNSS, 2023 against the order dated 13.03.2026 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge No. 02, Ajmer (Raj.), in Bail Application No.269/2026, whereby the bail application of the petitioner was rejected in connection with F.I.R. No.102/2022, Police Station Kotwali, District Ajmer for the offences under Sections 420, 409, 120-B of the IPC,1860.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody since 20.09.2019. He further submits that the principle F.I.R. arising from the same cause of action was registered as F.I.R. No. 32/2019 at SOG District Jaipur, for offence under Section 420, 406, 409, 467, 468, 471, 120-B of the IPC,1860 and Section 65 Information Technology Act, 2000.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 30.01.2026 in S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 15138/2025 titled as *Shaitan Singh vs State of Rajasthan* had already granted the benefit of bail to accused-petitioner Shaitan Singh by way of a detailed order.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that all the other F.I.R.s, which were lodged either within the jurisdiction of the Principal Seat at Jodhpur or the Jaipur Bench, are offshoots of the principal F.I.R. and have been registered on the complaints of different complainants.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in most of the F.I.R.s lodged after the principal F.I.R. No. 32/2019, falling within the jurisdiction of the Principal Seat at Jodhpur, the petitioner has already been enlarged on bail.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court at the Principal Seat, Jodhpur, vide order dated 23.08.2024, in S.B.Criminal Writ Petition No. 1479/2023 in *Vikram Singh vs State of Rajasthan & Ors.*, directed the clubbing of all the F.I.R.s as well as the trial proceedings pertaining to offences arising out of the same cause of action. He also submits that the F.I.R.s as well as the trial proceedings in the present case have also been ordered to be clubbed vide the said order. He, therefore, submits that, as on date, two trials are proceeding simultaneously—one at Jodhpur and the other at Jaipur.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, in view of the clubbing of the F.I.R.s, the maximum sentence that may be imposed upon the petitioner would be seven years, if the

sentences are directed to run concurrently. He further submits that the petitioner has already remained in judicial custody for more than seven years. Learned counsel thus submits that the charge-sheet has been filed; the trial is likely to take considerable time to conclude and that the petitioner has already been enlarged on bail in most of the connected cases. Therefore, he prays that the petitioner may also be enlarged on bail in the present case.

8. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Public Prosecutor and perused the material available on record.

9. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, and particularly the fact that the petitioner has already been enlarged on bail in the principal F.I.R. No. 32/2019, and that in the subsequent F.I.R.s lodged thereafter, both the Jaipur Bench and the Principal Seat at Jodhpur have also granted the petitioner the benefit of bail, this Court finds it appropriate to extend the same benefit in the present case. Further, all the F.I.R.s and the trials arising therefrom have been ordered to be consolidated. In such circumstances, the trial is likely to take considerable time to conclude. Moreover, the offences are triable by a Magistrate.

10. Consequently, the bail application is **allowed**. It is ordered that the accused-petitioner -**Shaitan Singh S/o Uttam Singh** arrested in connection with F.I.R. No. 102/2022, Police Station Kotwali, shall be released on bail; provided he furnishes a personal bond of Rs.1,00,000/ (Rs. One Lakh Only) with two sureties of Rs. 50,000/- (Rs. Fifty Thousand Only) each, to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court with the stipulation to appear before that Court on all dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so till the completion of the trial and with additional following conditions:

- (i) The petitioner shall not leave country without the permission of the Court;
- (ii) The petitioner shall not commit an offence similar to the offence of which he is accused, or suspected, of the commission of which he is suspected; and
- (iii) The petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence.
- (iv) That the accused petitioner Shaitan Singh will adhere to the conditions as imposed by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court while granting bail, vide order dated 30.01.2026, in S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 15138/2025 titled as *Shaitan Singh vs State of Rajasthan*.

(BIPIN GUPTA),J