

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 5905/2026
URN: CRLMB / 10574U / 2026

Shaitan Singh S/o Uttam Singh, Aged About 43 Years, R/o Village Post Sagara, Police Station Dechu, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan. (That The Accused Petitioner Is Currently Confined At Central Jail, Jodhpur In Judicial Custody Since 10.04.2021).

----Accused-Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

----Respondent

Connected With

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 5906/2026
URN: CRLMB / 10575U / 2026

Devi Singh S/o Late Shri Keshar Singh, Aged About 44 Years, R/o Bhadariya Police Station Lathi District Jaisalmer, Rajasthan. (That The Accused Petitioner Is Currently Confined At Central Jail, Jodhpur In Judicial Custody Since 26.12.2021).

----Accused-Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Umang Jain Mr. Anuj Rohila
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Naresh Gupta, P.P.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BIPIN GUPTA

Judgment / Order

30/06/2026

1. The present bail applications have been filed under Section 483 BNSS, 2023 against the orders dated 01.04.2026 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge No. 04, Jaipur District, Jaipur (Raj.), in Bail Applications No.38/2026 and 37/2026 respectively,

whereby the bail applications of the petitioners were rejected in connection with F.I.R. No.248/2019, Police Station Clock Tower, District Ajmer for the offences under Sections 420, 406, 409, 120-B of the IPC, 1860.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are in custody since 20.09.2019 and 19.09.2019, respectively. He further submits that the principle F.I.R. arising from the same cause of action was registered as F.I.R. No. 32/2019 at SOG District Jaipur, for offence under Section 420, 406, 409, 467, 468, 471, 120-B of the IPC, 1860 and Section 65 Information Technology Act, 2000.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 30.01.2026 in S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 15138/2025 titled as *Shaitan Singh vs State of Rajasthan* had already granted the benefit of bail to accused-petitioner Shaitan Singh by way of a detailed order. Further, the accused-petitioner Devi Singh had also been enlarged on bail by the Hon'ble Apex Court, vide order 17.12.2024, in Criminal Appeal arising out of SLP (Crl.) No. 12198/2024 titled as *Devi Singh vs State of Rajasthan & Anr.*

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that all the other F.I.R.s, which were lodged either within the jurisdiction of the Principal Seat at Jodhpur or the Jaipur Bench, are offshoots of the principal F.I.R. and have been registered on the complaints of different complainants.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in most of the F.I.R.s lodged after the principal F.I.R. No. 32/2019, falling

within the jurisdiction of the Principal Seat at Jodhpur, the petitioners have already been enlarged on bail.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court at the Principal Seat, Jodhpur, vide order dated 23.08.2024, in S.B.Criminal Writ Petition No. 1479/2023 in *Vikram Singh vs State of Rajasthan & Ors.*, directed the clubbing of all the F.I.R.s as well as the trial proceedings pertaining to offences arising out of the same cause of action. He also submits that the F.I.R.s as well as the trial proceedings in the present case have also been ordered to be clubbed vide the said order. He, therefore, submits that, as on date, two trials are proceeding simultaneously—one at Jodhpur and the other at Jaipur.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that, in view of the clubbing of the F.I.R.s, the maximum sentence that may be imposed upon the petitioners would be seven years, if the sentences are directed to run concurrently. He further submits that the petitioners have already remained in judicial custody for more than seven years. Learned counsel thus submits that the charge-sheet has been filed; the trial is likely to take considerable time to conclude and that the petitioners have already been enlarged on bail in most of the connected cases. Therefore, he prays that the petitioners may also be enlarged on bail in the present case.

8. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned Public Prosecutor and perused the material available on record.

9. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, and particularly the fact that the petitioners have already been

enlarged on bail in the principal F.I.R. No. 32/2019, and that in the subsequent F.I.R.s lodged thereafter, both the Jaipur Bench and the Principal Seat at Jodhpur have also granted the petitioner the benefit of bail, this Court finds it appropriate to extend the same benefit in the present case. Further, all the F.I.R.s and the trials arising therefrom have been ordered to be consolidated. In such circumstances, the trial is likely to take considerable time to conclude. Moreover, the offences are triable by a Magistrate.

10. Consequently, the bail applications are **allowed**. It is ordered that the accused-petitioners **-(i) Shaitan Singh S/o Uttam Singh** and **(ii) Devi Singh S/o Shri Keshar Singh** arrested in connection with F.I.R. No. 248/2019, Police Station Clock Tower, District Ajmer, shall be released on bail; provided each of them furnish a personal bond of Rs.1,00,000/ (Rs. One Lakh Only) with two sureties of Rs. 50,000/- (Rs. Fifty Thousand Only) each, to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court with the stipulation to appear before that Court on all dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so till the completion of the trial and with additional following conditions:

- (i) The petitioners shall not leave country without the permission of the Court;
- (ii) The petitioners shall not commit an offence similar to the offence of which they are accused, or suspected, of the commission of which they are suspected; and
- (iii) The petitioners shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence.

(iv) That the accused petitioner Shaitan Singh will adhere to the conditions as imposed by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court while granting bail, vide order dated 30.01.2026, in S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 15138/2025 titled as *Shaitan Singh vs State of Rajasthan*

(v) That the other accused Devi Singh will adhere to the conditions as imposed by the Hon'ble Apex Court vide order 17.12.2024, in Criminal Appeal arising out of SLP (Crl.) No. 12198/2024 titled as *Devi Singh vs State of Rajasthan & Anr.*

(BIPIN GUPTA),J