

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 5505/2026

Aalim Khan S/o Rasid, Aged About 22 Years, R/o Kuliwana Police Station Kaithwara District Deeg (Raj.)
(At Present Confined In Sub Jail Deeg).

-----Accused-Applicant

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through PP

-----Respondent

Connected With

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 6063/2026

Arman S/o Aazad, Aged About 20 Years, R/o Jhainjhpuri, Police Station Kaithwada, District Deeg (Rajasthan).
(At Present Accused-Applicant Confined In Sub Jail Deeg).

-----Accused-Applicant

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through PP

-----Respondent

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 6513/2026

- 1 Javed @ Javed Ali S/o Sharif, Aged About 32 Years, R/o Lalpur, Police Station Kaman, District Deeg (Rajasthan)
(At Present Confined In Sub District Jail, Deeg).
- 2 Muskan S/o Mukhtar, Aged About 20 Years, R/o Hajiwas Kaithwada, Police Station Kaithwada, District Deeg (Rajasthan) (At Present Confined In Sub District Jail, Deeg).
- 3 Shokin S/o Asru, R/o Hajiwas Kaithwada, Police Station Kaithwada, District Deeg (Rajasthan) (At Present Confined In Sub District Jail, Deeg).

-----Accused-Applicant(s)

Versus

The State Of Rajasthan, Through PP

-----Respondent

For Applicant(s) : Mr. Sanjay Khan
Mr. Rahul Sinsinwar
Mr. Aneesh Khan
Mr. Ankit Khandelwal

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Manvendra Singh Shekhawat, P.P.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUROOP SINGHI (V.J.)

Order

23/06/2026

1. The instant bail application has been filed by the accused – applicants by invoking the provisions of Section 483 of BNSS, 2023, the requisite details of which are as under:-

Sr. No.	Particulars	
1.	FIR No. and Date	29/2026 dated 15.03.2026
2.	Concerned Police Station	Kaithwara, District Deeg
3.	Offences as alleged in FIR	Under Sections 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2), 61(2)(a) of BNS, 2023, and 66D of I.T. Act, 2008
4.	Date of Arrest	15.03.2026

2. Learned counsel for the accused–applicants submit that the accused - applicants have been falsely implicated in the present case and prima facie, no offence(s) as alleged in the abovementioned FIR is made out against them.

3. Learned counsel for the accused - applicants submit that the offence alleged in the FIR and so also in the charge-sheet are that the accused - applicants were involved in committing cyber fraud upon general public by fraudulently posing online as sellers of different products.

4. Learned counsel further submit that the charge sheet of the case has already been filed and all the alleged offences are triable by Magistrate. Learned counsel further submit that the accused –

applicants have no criminal antecedents and they are in custody since 15.03.2026, and the trial of the case will take sufficient time to conclude.

Hence, learned counsel pray that the accused-applicants be granted bail.

5. Learned Public Prosecutor has opposed the averments made by learned counsel for the accused - applicants, however, he could not dispute the fact that all the alleged offences involved in the present matter are triable by magistrate.

6. Heard learned counsel for the accused-applicants and the learned Public Prosecutor and perused the material available on record.

7. Having regard to the submissions advanced, the fact that charge sheet has already been filed, the presence of the accused - applicants is no longer required, and all the alleged offences are triable by magistrate with the trial likely to take considerable time to conclude, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the accused - applicants in custody. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits/demerits of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the accused - applicants on bail.

8. Consequently, the present bail applications are **allowed** and the accused-applicants – i) **Aalim Khan S/o Rasid**, ii) **Arman S/o Aazad**, iii) **Javed @ Javed Ali S/o Sharif**, iv) **Muskan S/o Mukhtar** and v) **Shokin S/o Asru** arrested in connection with the above-mentioned FIR be released on bail, if not wanted in any other case upon furnishing of personal bonds in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with two sureties of the same amount each, to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court, with the stipulation that

they shall appear before the learned trial Court on all dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so until completion of the trial.

9. Additionally, the accused - applicants will also remain bound by the following conditions:-

(i) The accused - applicants shall not leave the country without seeking prior permission of the learned trial Court;

(ii) The accused - applicants shall not commit any offence similar to the offence(s) of which they stand accused of and in the event, the accused - applicants are found to be involved in any other similar case in the future, the prosecution shall be at liberty to move an appropriate application before the learned trial Court for cancellation of the bail granted herein;

(iii) The accused - applicants shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade such person from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer/investigating authority;

(iv) The accused - applicants shall not tamper with any evidence nor would influence any witnesses in the case; and

(v) The accused-applicants shall regularly mark their attendance in the concerned police station on 25th day of every month and the concerned S.H.O. shall maintain the register of attendance of the accused-applicants.

(ANUROOP SINGHI (V.J.)),J