




**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR**



S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 5904/2026
URN: CRLMB / 10573U / 2026

Ashish Kumar Sharma S/o Subhash Chand Sharma, Aged About
30 Years, R/o In Front Of Fauzdar Building Material Nagar Road,
District Deeg-Bharatpur.

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through PP

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Rajat Ranjan
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Rajesh Chaudhary, GA-cum-AAG with Mr. Vijay Yadav, AGA, Mr. Vinod Sharma, AAAG Mr. Jitendra Nawariya, Dy. SP, SOG, Jaipur, in person

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI CHIRANIA (V.J.)

Order

1.	Date of conclusion of Arguments	25.06.2026
2.	Date on which the order was reserved	25.06.2026
3.	Whether the full order or only operative part is pronounced	Full
4.	Date of pronouncement	30.06.2026

1. Instant bail application has been filed by the petitioner under Section 482, B.N.S.S., 2023 apprehending his arrest in connection with FIR No. 08/2026, dated 04.02.2026, registered at Special Police Station SOG, District ATS & SOG, for the offences punishable under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120B of IPC, 1860 and Section 66D of the Information Technology Act, 2000.



2. Learned counsel submitted that petitioner along with other co-accused persons got their MBBS Degree from Kazakhstan, Georgia and other countries respectively after completing the course. Thereafter, he was required to appear in Foreign Medical Graduate Examination ('**FMGE**') and only after clearing the said Examination, he would be eligible to do the mandatory internship and on completion of the same would get eligibility for mandatory registration with Rajasthan Medical Council to practice as a Doctor in the State of Rajasthan.

3. Learned counsel submitted that the degree as acquired by the petitioner, is not in dispute, however, the SOG registered the impugned FIR No. 8/2026 against him along with other persons as named in the FIR.

4. Learned counsel further submitted that the conduct of petitioner is not so serious in nature as alleged in the impugned FIR considering his status as a student at that time and he did not misuse his degree professionally. Learned counsel further submitted that in absence of any criminal antecedents of any nature in the past, petitioner herein may be granted the benefit of anticipatory bail.

5. Learned Government Advocate-cum-Additional Advocate General along with Investigating Officer of the case, who is present before the Court, strongly opposed the bail application and submitted that though petitioner is a **student, he appeared for the FMGE and failed to clear the same.** The petitioner herein used fake FMGE certificate for his internship. In view thereof, it was prayed that the conduct of the petitioner is not different from others.



6. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material available on record.

7. This Court, after considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case and noticing the serious conduct of the petitioner and various issues as raised by counsel for the respondent, is not inclined to grant the benefit of anticipatory bail to the present petitioner, more so when the bail applications of other co-accused persons, as filed under Section 483 BNSS, have been dismissed.

8. Accordingly, the bail application is dismissed.

(RAVI CHIRANIA (V.J.)),J

PAYAL DHAWAN