

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 5773/2026

1. Roduram S/o Ladu, Aged About 62 Years, R/o Bhagwantpura, Police Station Sarana, District Ajmer (Raj.) (Presently Confined In Central Jail Ajmer).
2. Deshraj S/o Roduram, Aged About 27 Years, R/o Bhagwantpura, Police Station Sarana, District Ajmer (Raj.) (Presently Confined In Central Jail Ajmer).

----Petitioners

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Sandeep Kumar Maheshwari Mr. Ajay Gupta
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Jaiprakash Tiwari, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAMIL KUMAR MATHUR

Order

29/04/2026

1. The petitioners have filed this bail application under Section 483 of BNSS in FIR No.3/2026 registered at Police Station Sarana, District Ajmer for offences under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 110, 191(2) and 190 of BNS, 2023.
2. Heard learned counsels for the petitioners as well as learned Public Prosecutor and perused the material available on record.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have falsely been implicated in this case. It is further submitted that one of the petitioners Deshraj had earlier lodged FIR No.1/2026 at the same police station against the complainant side alleging that the complainant side inflicted injuries upon the petitioners' side. The petitioners also sustained injuries in the said

incident. It is contended that the present FIR has been filed by the complainant merely as a counterblast to the earlier FIR lodged by petitioner Desrhaj. This clearly indicates that the complainant was, in fact, the aggressor, which is evident from a bare perusal of the FIR lodged by the petitioner. The petitioners are behind the bars since 06.04.2026 though seven cases have been reported against petitioner Roduram and two cases against petitioner-Deshraj but in those cases they are already on bail. The trial of the case will take considerable time, therefore, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioners in further custody, consequently, the bail application deserves to be allowed.

4. Learned Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the bail application.

5. On consideration of the rival submissions and material available on record and in the light of submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners but without expressing any opinion on merits/demerits of the case, I am inclined to grant benefit of bail to the petitioners.

6. Consequently, the bail applications under Section 483 B.N.S.S. are allowed and it is directed that the petitioners **Roduram S/o Ladu** and **Deshraj S/o Roduram**, be released on bail provided each of them furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with two sureties in the sum of Rs.25,000/- each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court with the stipulation that each of them shall appear before that Court on all subsequent dates of hearing till conclusion of the trial.

(PRAMIL KUMAR MATHUR),J