

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 6188/2026

Prashant Sharma @ Chintu S/o Shri Premkumar Sharma, Aged About 32 Years, R/o House No. 124-125, Lions Lane, Officers Campus, Near Sanskar School, Vaishali Nagar, Police Station Vaishali Nagar, Jaipur West. (Accused Petitioner Presently Confined In Central Jail Jaipur).

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

-----Respondent

Connected With

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 3143/2026

Paras Verma S/o Shri Manoj Verma, Aged About 39 Years, R/o 2-E-99, B.p. Ward No. 11, Nh-2, Faridabad, Haryana.

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through PP

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Abhinav Saxena and Ms. Neha Garg for Mr. Anil Kumar Mohta Mr. Sudhir Jain
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Sudesh Kumar Saini, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR JAIN

Order

04/05/2026

1. These bail applications under Section 483 and 482 of BNSS are filed for regular bail by the applicants-accused **Prashant Sharma @ Chintu S/o Shri Premkumar Sharma** and for ancitipatory bail application by applicant-accused **Paras Verma S/o Shri Manoj Verma** seeking bail in respect of a

criminal case registered as FIR No.296/2025 dated 23.12.2025 at P.S. Transport Nagar (Jaipur City) (East), District - Jaipur City (East), for the offence under Section 8/22 of NDPS Act.

2. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the matter and the investigation clearly indicate that there is no evidence against them. He further submits that there are no chance of fleeing of applicant accused from the jurisdiction of this Hon'ble Court. He also submits that applicants does not have any criminal antecedents, and investigation against Prashant Sharma is complete. He also submits that the applicant undertakes to cooperate with investigation/trial, which will take time.
3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of applicant Prashant Sharma @ Chintu submitted that the police has not followed the mandatory provisions of law while effecting search and seizure in the matter. He further submitted that the recovery proceedings in particular search memo, reflect that three different packets were recovered from three different persons and alleged recovery from present applicant is 16.15 grams, of Mephedrone (MD). He also submitted that the commercial quantity for Matafatidime is 50 grams. He also submitted that there is no criminal antecedents against the applicant, so he is entitled for bail.
4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of applicant-accused Paras Verma submitted that on ground of apprehension, instant bail application is filed for anticipatory bail. He also

submitted that there is no evidence against the present applicant in the instant case, but still the applicant was arrayed as an accused on the basis of information given by co-accused, which is not admissible in evidence. He further submitted that the vehicle, which was allegedly used, belong to present applicant, but present applicant has no connection with either of the accused, who were arrested by the police. He also submitted that present applicant has no connection with the accused Idoko Chidi Hillary @ Idoko Henry Ethan, and he is entitled for anticipatory bail.

5. Learned Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the bail application and submitted that the allegations are grave and serious in nature. He submitted report received from P.S. Jawaharnagar, Jaipur (East).
6. Heard learned counsel for the applicants-accused and learned Public Prosecutor. Perused the material placed on record by both the parties.
7. The brief facts of the case are that SHO, Transport Nagar has received an information in the night of 22.12.2025 that a Tata Tiago Grey Colour Car bearing No.RJ-45-CZ-3701 is transporting contraband and same was stopped, in which three youths were sitting. There was suspicion that they are possessing contraband/drugs. The driver of the vehicle was Tarun, whereas two other persons namely Lokendra Singh and Prashant Sharma were traveling in the car. After following the procedure, a search has been effected. Police has recovered 14.64 grams Methamphetamine from Tarun Singh, 22.93 grams Methamphetamine from possession of

Lokendra Singh and 16.15 grams Methamphetamine (Mephedrone Crystals) from possession of Prashant Sharma. During interrogation, the accused have revealed that they have purchased said contraband from Henry Nigerian and to save them from booking for an offence relating to recovery of commercial quantity, they have divided the Mephedrone Crystals. Police has arrested all three persons. During interrogation, it was also revealed that Ashok Choudhary paid the money to these accused for purchasing the Methamphetamine. Total recovery of Methamphetamine was 52.72 grams. Police has registered an FIR No.296/2025 dated 23.12.2025 under Section 8/22 of NDPS Act.

8. Bail application of Harshal Jain was rejected by a Coordinate Bench of this Court on 25.02.2026, whereas bail application of Ashok Choudhary was dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to file after challan.
9. The material indicate that applicant-accused Prashant Sharma has travelled to Faridabad (Haryana) with other two to purchase Methamphetamine from Henry Nigerian. The Methamphetamine (16.15 grams) is recovered from present applicant-Prashant Sharma. The grounds as raised by learned counsel for applicant are not sufficient to grant bail to Prashant Sharma at this stage.
10. The applicant-Paras Verma was named as he has made available his car No.HR-87-S-1093 to Henry for supply of contraband. The evidence collected by police indicate that these accused were in active communication with each other, and quite known to each other. Accused Paras Verma has

made available his car to facilitate transportation of contraband, therefore, considering the nature, role and involvement has alleged in the case, this is not a fit case to enlarge anticipatory bail to Paras Verma.

11. Considered the role and involvement of applicant-accused Prashant Sharma, I am of considered view that this is not a fit case to enlarge him on bail.
12. In view of discussion made herein above, the application for bail filed under Section 483 of BNSS by **Prashant Sharma @ Chintu S/o Shri Premkumar Sharma** and the application for anticipatory bail filed under Section 482 of BNSS by **Paras Verma S/o Shri Manoj Verma** are hereby dismissed.

(ASHOK KUMAR JAIN),J