

RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT

सत्यमेव जयते

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 6884/2026
CNR: RJHC020346982026 | URN: CW / 15121U / 2026

Rangrao Son of Shri Harnarayan, Aged About 55 Years, Resident of Mohladiya, Tehsil Neemrana, District Kotputli Behror (Raj.)

-----Petitioner

Versus

1.

Civil Judge, Behror, District Kotputli-Behror, Rajasthan.
2.

Assistant Engineer (Pawas), Jaipur Vidyut Vitran Nigam Limited, Jaipur Discom, Behror, District. Kotputli-Behror (Raj.).
3.

Executive Engineer (Pawas), Jaipur Vidyut Vitran Nigam Limited, Jaipur Discom, Behror, District Kotputli-Behror (Raj.).
4.

Chairman, Jaipur Vidyut Vitran Nigam Limited, Jaipur, Vidyut Bhawan, Jyoti Nagar, Jaipur (Raj.)
5.

M/s. Rajendra Promoters Private Limited, T-5/250, Mangolpuri Industrial Area, Phase-I, New Delhi, Through Director, Authorised Prerepresentative Hukmchand Son of Jaswantsingh Yadav, Resident of Sherpur, Tehsil Behror, District Kotputli-Behror, (Raj.).

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)

:

Ms. Aditi Meena

For Respondent(s)

:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDESH BANSAL

Order

27/07/2026

1. Instant writ petition has been filed by and on behalf of petitioner-plaintiff, feeling aggrieved by order dated 16.03.2026 passed in Civil Misc. Application No.7/2025 by the District Judge, Kotputli, District Kotputli-Behror whereby a prayer has been made to transfer the Civil Suit No.6/2025 pending before the Court Civil

Judge Behror to any other competent Court. The transfer application has been dismissed vide order impugned with cost of Rs.10,000/- and learned District Judge has observed that wrong allegations were levelled by the petitioner against the Presiding Officer, which are baseless and hypothetical, therefore, the cost was imposed.

2. During course of arguments, counsel for petitioner admits that the concerned Presiding Officer has been transferred and new Presiding Officer is now presiding the Court, hence, cause of petitioner to seek transfer of the Civil Suit does not survive anymore. In such view, counsel for petitioner seeks permission to withdraw this writ petition.

3. Counsel for petitioner further prayed that the cost of Rs.10,000/- imposed upon the petitioner by the learned District Judge, Kotputli, may be waived.

4. Since the learned District Judge has assigned the reasons in the impugned order dated 16.03.2026 to impose the cost of Rs.10,000/-, hence, the prayer made by counsel for petitioner to waive the cost, is not acceptable.

5. In view of above, instant writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

6. Stay application and other pending application(s), if any, stand disposed of.

7. A copy of this order be sent to the trial Court forthwith.

(SUDESH BANSAL),J