



2026:RJ-JP:21211

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 6714/2026

Manohar Singh S/o Shri Jaman Singh

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan & ors.

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Dinesh Bishnoi Mr. Sanjay Khedar
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. R.B. Mathur, Sr. Adv. with Ms. Falak Mathur Mr. Yug Singh Mr. Nikhil Simlote Mr. Salim Khan Mr. Prateek Saxena

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAMEER JAIN

Order

10/04/2026

1. An application **(IA No.1/2026)** under Order I Rule 10 is moved for impleadment of the applicant in array of respondents.
2. For the reasons stated in the memo of application, and submissions made, the same stands **allowed**.
3. Amended cause title be filed.
4. Issue notices, to the newly impleaded party.
5. The instant matter pertains to allotment of liquor shops wherein, it is alleged that the State Excise Commissioner, at various instances has adopted distinguishable practices qua various parties.
6. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that on 31.03.2025 and 08.04.2025, circulars were issued whereby it was articulated that the locations of the allotted shops cannot be



changed. However, as per the permutations and combinations of the instant matter, qua the petitioner and akin cases, different locations were changed. It is further submitted that once the shop was allotted, the petitioner filled the stock, ready to sale; however, at this juncture an ex-parte order came to be passed.

7. It is apprised to the Court that the allotment made to the petitioner, was assailed by the private respondent/newly impleaded party herein, due to which in an arbitrary manner the State Excise Commissioner, in violation and contravention of departments own circulars, altered/changed the location allotted to the petitioner. Howsoever, flouting the said circulars, the State Excise Commissioner has acted contradictory to his own circulars and has allotted the shop to the petitioner whereby on an objection raised by the respondent, the same was again recalled *qua* which present petition is filed.

8. Learned counsel for the respondents has submitted that they have opted for renewal with an increment of 12.5% at the allotted shops, under a belief that circulars as referred (*supra*) will be maintained.

9. Taking note of the fact that on recurring basis multiple petitions are filed and *prima facie* the practice adopted by the State Excise Department, is not uniform, and is based on pick and choose method, in violation of the departments own circulars, this Court directs the State Excise Commissioner, to remain present in the Court, on the next date of hearing along with the relevant records, and well versed with the facts of the matter at hand, to elucidate the actions taken insofar and *modus* adopted vis-a-vis allotment of shops.



10. Principal Secretary, Department of Finance, Government of Rajasthan, is directed to appear via V.C. to elucidate as to why and how in revenue and excise related matters, a pick and choose and whimsical approach is appointed, in contravention to the circulars issued by the department.

11. Learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to supply copy of the writ petition in the office of Mr. Kapil Prakash Mathur, AAG.

12. List the matter on 17.04.2026 at 11:00 AM in the supplementary cause list along with S.B.CWP No.6264/2026, after reflecting the name of Mr. Kapil Prakash Mathur, AAG in the cause list as counsel for the respondents.

13. In the meantime, status *quo* as on date be maintained.

(SAMEER JAIN),J