

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

D.B. Civil Writ Petition(PIL) No. 6198/2025

Public Against Corruption

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan & Ors.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Dr. T.N. Sharma, Adv. Mr. P.C. Bhandari, Adv. Ms. Pratibha Baresa, Adv. Mr. Dinesh Pareek, Adv. Mr. Sarosh Khan, Adv. Ms. Usha Bhandari, Adv. Mr. Amit Mittal, Adv. Mr. Gulab Mittal, Adv.
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Manoj Sharma, AAG assisted by Mr. Abhishek Sharma, Adv. Ms. Pooja Sharma, Adv. Mr. Vishal Kumar, Adv. Mr. A.K. Sharma, Sr. Adv. with Mr. Rachit Sharma, Adv. Mr. Namu Narayan Sharma, Adv. Mr. K.K. Sharma, Sr. Adv. assisted by Ms. Alankrita Sharma, Adv. Mr. V.B. Sharma, Adv. Mr. Azad Ahmed, Adv. Mr. S.N. Kumawat, Adv. Mr. Naval Kishore Mali, Adv. Mr. Abhinav Sharma, Adv. Mr. Rameshwar Prasad Sharma, Adv.

HON'BLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE MR. SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BALJINDER SINGH SANDHU

Order

10/11/2025

1. The matter comes upon application Nos.02/2025 and 03/2025 for impleading the applicants as party-respondent in the writ petition(PIL).
2. The application No.02/2025 has been filed stating that they are necessary parties as they have entered into agreement with

the concerned Khatedar in the year 1991. It is an admitted position by them in their own applications that land acquisition proceedings under the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 have been initiated in the year 1990 and final award was also passed in the year 1994.

3. In these circumstances, the application for impleadement is found to be misconceived as no right can be said to have been created in their favour on the basis of an agreement which would be *non est* after the notification under Section 4 has already been issued under the Land Acquisition Act, 1894. Any agreement with the land owners after the notification having been issued has no sanctity in law and no right can flow from such agreement. The members of the Samiti and the concerned society therefore have no right to be heard in matter relating to the present PIL being filed for removing the encroachments.

4. Application No.03/2025 has also been filed for impleadement as a party-respondent.

5. Application Nos.02/2025 and 03/2025 are accordingly, dismissed. However, the applicants are allowed to intervene in the matter as intervenors.

6. Learned counsel appearing for Rajasthan Housing Board and Jaipur Development Authority seeks time to file reply. They may do so before the next date.

7. Some of the counsels have stated that they have also filed applications for impleadment. Office is directed to check and place the same before the next date with advance copy to the learned counsel for the petitioner who may file response, if he so chooses.

8. List this matter on 09.12.2025.



9. In the meantime, learned Additional Advocate General shall also place before us the compliance report of the order dated 20.08.2025.

(BALJINDER SINGH SANDHU),J

(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA),ACTING CJ

HEENA/MAHIMA/81