



**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR**



S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 5613/2026

Robin @ Boodhi S/o Umar, Aged About 24 Years, R/o Islamabad
Mohalla Uthawad, Police Station Uthawad, District Palwal,
Haryana. (At Present Confined In District Jail Deeg).

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Yogesh Singhal Ms. Saroj Singh
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Jaiprakash Tiwari, PP Mr. Jitendra Singh, SHO, PS Kumher, Deeg

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAMIL KUMAR MATHUR

Order

06/05/2026

1. The petitioner has preferred this bail application under Section 483 of BNSS in FIR No.485/2024 registered at Police Station Kumher, District Deeg for offences under Sections 103(1), 109(1), 121(1), 132, 281 of BNS, 2023 and Sections 3, 25(6) of Arms Act, 1959, Sections 5 and 8 of RBA Act, 1985.
2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned Public Prosecutor and perused the material available on record.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. He further submits that co-accused Jamshed, Dilshad and Rafiq have already been enlarged on bail by the learned trial Court vide orders dated 07.02.2025 and 20.02.2025 respectively. The case of the petitioner is akin to them. He again submits that delay in recovery



of the pistol from the petitioner is unexplained. Petitioner is behind the bars since 03.12.2025 without any criminal past. The trial of the case will take considerable time, therefore, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in further custody, consequently on above factual aspects and to maintain parity, the bail application of petitioner deserves to be allowed.

4. Learned Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the bail application and submits that the allegation against the present petitioner is that he fired a shot from a firearm as a result of which the deceased-Sandeep died. It is further submitted that pistol was recovered from his possession. He contends that the case of the co-accused who got benefit of bail is distinguishable as no firearm was recovered from their possession. There are specific allegations against petitioner for causing the death of the deceased Sandeep by using a firearm. It is further averred that the petitioner was identified in the Test Identification Parade and the delay in recovery of the pistol was attributed to the fact of Test Identification Parade proceedings for which the petitioner was taken into judicial custody and thereafter recovery was effected. He further submits that the petitioner also fired at the police party which constitutes a very serious offence, hence, the present petitioner may not be entitled for bail.

5. On consideration of the rival submissions and incriminating material available on record reflecting the involvement of petitioner in causing the death of the deceased-Sandeep and in light of submissions made by learned Public Prosecutor but without expressing any opinion on merits/demerits of the case, I am not inclined to grant benefit of bail to the petitioner.



6. Consequently, the bail application filed under Section 483 is dismissed.

(PRAMIL KUMAR MATHUR),J

Chandan/46