

RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT

सत्यमेव जयते

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 5684/2026

Prabhu Lal Meena S/o Dhanna Lal, Aged About 27 Years, R/o Naya Gaon, Ahamadpura, Police Station Mehandwas, District Tonk (Raj.) (At Present In District Jail Tonk).

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Shyam Bihari Gautam
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Vivek Sharma, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAMIL KUMAR MATHUR

Order

30/04/2026

1. The petitioner has preferred this bail application under Section 483 of BNSS in FIR No.21/2026 registered at Police Station Uniara, District Tonk for offences under Sections 8 and 20 of NDPS Act, 1985.
2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned Public Prosecutor and perused the material available on record.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. He further submits that the petitioner has been implicated in the present case merely on the ground that he is registered owner of the vehicle allegedly involved in the matter. The main accused namely Gopal, Rajendra and Mukesh from whose possession 6.400 kg of ganja which is below the commercial quantity has been recovered from their conscious possession have already been enlarged on bail by Co-

ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 06.04.2026. Another co-accused Vikas has also been granted the benefit of bail by Co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 27.02.2026. Petitioner is behind the bars since 30.03.2026 though two cases have been reported against petitioner but both are related under the Rajasthan Noise Control Act. Charge-sheet has already been filed. The trial of the case will take considerable time, therefore, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in further custody and on the ground of parity with other co-accused, the bail application deserves to be allowed.

4. Learned Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the bail application.

5. On consideration of the rival submissions and material available on record and in light of submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner but without expressing any opinion on merits/demerits of the case, I am inclined to grant benefit of bail to the petitioner.

6. Consequently, the bail application under Section 483 B.N.S.S. is allowed and it is directed that the petitioner **Prabhu Lal Meena S/o Dhanna Lal**, be released on bail provided he furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with two sureties in the sum of Rs.25,000/- each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court with the stipulation that he shall appear before that Court on all subsequent dates of hearing till conclusion of the trial.

(PRAMIL KUMAR MATHUR),J