

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous (Petition) No. 2281/2026

Mahendra Kumar Meena Son Of Shri Sohan Lal, Resident Of
Goner Road, Rawa Ki Dhani, Bhandhya Mod, Dantli, District
Jaipur (Raj).

----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through P.P.
2. Bachchu Singh Meena Son Of Late Shri Girraj Singh
Meena, Resident Of Village Barwada, Tehsil Nadbai,
District Bharatpur (Rajasthan).

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Ravindra Singh Shekhawat
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Rishi Raj Singh, P.P.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUROOP SINGHI

Order

05/05/2026

1. The present criminal miscellaneous petition has been filed by the petitioner seeking quashing of the FIR No. 0083/2026 dated 16.02.2026 (Annexure-1) registered at Police Station – Kho Nagoriyan, Jaipur City (East), for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 318(4), 316(2), 61(2), 338, 336(3) and 340(2) of BNS, 2023.

2. Mr. Ravindra Singh Shekhawat, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the matter. As per the FIR, the alleged incident pertains to the year 2012, when vide allotment letter dated 11.10.2012 floated by the Rajhans Co-operative Housing Society Limited, Jaipur, allotment of plot No. 36, situated at Gyan Sarover Scheme, Village

Govindpura urf Ropada, Tehsil Sanganer, on Goner Road, Jaipur, was made in favour of the wife of respondent No.2 - complainant. The FIR records that possession of the said plot was taken by the respondent No.2 – complainant, after which a boundary wall and two rooms were constructed upon the said land in the year 2012 and since then, the respondent No.2-complainant is in continuous possession of the same. The FIR further records that the petitioner was involved in the said transaction and was aware of the title of respondent No.2-complainant, however, despite the same, when respondent No.2-complainant visited the said plot in the year 2025, he found that both the rooms, so also the boundary wall constructed at the said plot have been demolished, and another patta in respect of the very same plot has been issued in the name of third person, who on the basis of the same, is claiming title over the said part of land.

3. Learned counsel submits that even if the allegations levelled in the impugned FIR are taken on the face of it, no offence whatsoever is made out against the petitioner as even if at all there is a subsequent sale of the very same plot, it is the society, which has issued the allotment letter regarding the said plot to the respondent No.2-complainant, and thus, the petitioners could not have been roped in.

4. Learned counsel further submits that initially the larger chunk of land was sold by one Chhote Lal Meena, the Khatedar to the Rajhans Co-operative Housing Society Limited, Jaipur, vide agreement to sale dated 30.08.2012(Annexure-2), on which the residential scheme was floated by the said society, however, subsequently, the relative of the erstwhile Khatedar has sold the

very same larger chunk of land, of which the land in question forms a part, to another person, for which an FIR No. 0595/2025 dated 06.10.2025 (Annexure-4) at Police Station - Kho Nagoriyan, Jaipur City (East), has been lodged by the said Society and the investigation therein is still pending.

5. Taking note of the above, issue notice to the respondents No.1 & 2.

6. Learned Public Prosecutor accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1.

7. Hence, service *qua* respondent No.1 is complete. Notice be filed only for the respondent No.2, returnable within six weeks, both by ordinary process as well as the registered post.

8. List the matter on 22.07.2026.

9. In the meanwhile, subject to the petitioner duly participating in the investigation, he shall not be arrested in respect of the impugned FIR (Annexure-1).

(ANUROOP SINGHI),J