

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 6543/2026

M/s Siyaram Mines And Minerals Llp, Through Its Authorized Signatory Shri Anil Kumar Goyal, Having Registered Office At Flat No.706, B-Block, 7Th Floor, Athena Building, Jay Singh Highway, Banipark, Jaipur-302006.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Secretary, Department Of Mines And Geology, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. The Director, Department Of Mines And Geology, District Udaipur, Rajasthan
3. The Additional Director, Department Of Mines And Geology, Jaipur Rajasthan
4. Office Of The Assistant Mining Engineer, Through The Assistant Mining Engineer, Department Of Mines And Geology, Kotputli

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Sandeep Singh Shekhawat Mr. Akshay Dutt Sharma
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Rahul Lodha, AGC

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUROOP SINGHI
Order

27/04/2026

- 1.** The present writ petition has been filed by the petitioner with the following prayers:-

"It is, therefore, humbly prayed that Your Lordships may graciously be pleased to call for entire record of this case and after examining the same, admit and allow this writ petition by issuing an appropriate writ, order or direction:-

- (i) The impugned notice dated 18.3.2026 (Annexure-3) issued by the Assistant Mining Engineer, Kotputli be quashed and set aside.*
- (ii) The respondents be directed not to take any coercive action against the petitioner.*

(iii) any other relief which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case, may also kindly be passed in favour of the Petitioner.

(iv) Cost of the litigation may kindly be passed in favour of the petitioner."

2. As the challenge has been made to the show cause notice dated 18.03.2026 (Annexure-3) and the learned counsel for the respondent submits before the Court that the respondents are withdrawing the impugned show cause notice dated 18.03.2026, in support of which, a communication dated 19.04.2026 has already been placed on record, nothing further survives in the writ petition as the grievance raised by the petitioner stands redressed.

3. However, the respondents would be at liberty to initiate afresh proceedings by issuing a fresh show cause notice, but only after supplying a copy of the drone survey report dated 14.11.2025 to the petitioner and allowing it liberty to put forward its stand.

Further, the petitioner would also have the liberty to avail remedies as available in law for redressal for its grievances, if they still survives.

4. With the aforesaid directions, the writ petition stands disposed of.

5. Pending application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

(ANUROOP SINGHI),J