



**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR**



S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous (Petition) No. 2270/2026
URN: CRLMP / 5160U / 2026

1. Satyanarayan Saini S/o Shri Buddha Ram Saini, Aged About 49 Years, R/o Village Pili Ki Talai, Damodar Walo Ki Dhani Amer, District Jaipur, (Raj).
2. Bhim Singh S/o Shri Sundarlal, aged about 48 Years, R/o Bhomaka Ki Dhani, Ward No. 19, Chomu, Police Station Chomu, Jaipur (Raj.).

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Public Prosecutor.
2. Manoj Kumar S/o Shri Bajranglal, R/o Ward No. 13, Brahampuri, Chomu, Jaipur (Raj.) (General Power Of Attorney Holder Of Shri Shivshankar Gehlot S/o Shri Asharam Gehlot, R/o 1-D-4, Jaynarayan Vyas Colony, Bikaner, (Raj.)).

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Ms. Manisha Agarwal for Mr. Anshuman Saxena
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Amit Punia, PP
For Complainant(s)	:	Ms. Shilpa Choudhary

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPMAN

Order

06/07/2026

1. By way of this Criminal Misc. Petition under Section 528 of the BNSS, the accused-petitioners have approached this Court with a prayer to quash the criminal proceedings in Criminal Case No.267/2013 pending before the learned Senior Civil Judge & Metropolitan Magistrate, No.10, Headquarter Chomu, Jaipur Metropolitan, Jaipur-II for offences punishable under Sections 467, 468, 471 & 120B IPC arising out of FIR No.763/2013 registered at



Police Station Chomu, District Jaipur for offences punishable under Section 420, 467, 468, 471 & 120B of IPC.

2. Petitioners and complainant-respondent No.2 are present in person. They have duly been identified by their respective counsel.

3. Perusal of the file would reveal that the impugned FIR was registered for offences punishable under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 & 120B of IPC on the basis of criminal complaint submitted by the complainant before the learned Magistrate. The said complaint was sent to the police station for registration of FIR under the provisions of Section 156(3) of Cr.PC.

4. After registration of the aforesaid FIR, the police conducted investigation in the matter and submitted the final report (charge-sheet) against the petitioners for offences punishable under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 & 120B of IPC.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that parties have resolved their dispute amicably in the spirit of Lok Adalat. Counsel submits that both parties have jointly filed an application before the learned trial Court with regard to the compromise. However, the learned trial Court partly allowed the said application vide order dated 10.10.2025 and accepted the compromise only to the extent of offence punishable under Section 420 of IPC. Counsel submits that on account of compromise, further proceedings in the matter are fruitless and continuation thereof would be a futile exercise and will cause waste of precious judicial time and resources. Therefore, it is prayed that aforesaid criminal



proceedings pending against the petitioners may be quashed in view of compromise arrived between the parties.

6. Learned Public Prosecutor and learned counsel for the complainant do not dispute the factum of compromise. Learned counsel for the complainant submits that complainant has no objection if the criminal proceedings arising out of the impugned FIR pending before the learned trial Court are quashed on the basis of compromise arrived at between the parties.

7. In view of the aforesaid and considering the submissions made on behalf of the parties; the material made available for perusal of this Court; considering the fact that petitioners and complainant have arrived at compromise and settled their dispute amicably and also finding that the present case is wholly covered by the principle of law laid down by Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Gian Singh versus State of Punjab & Anr.*** reported in ***2012 Cr.L.J. (SC) 4934*** and in the case of ***State of Haryana & Ors. versus Choudhary Bhajan Lal & Ors.*** reported in ***AIR 1992 SC 604***, the criminal proceedings in Criminal Case No.267/2013 pending before the learned trial Court for offences punishable under Sections 467, 468, 471 & 120B IPC are liable to be quashed in view of compromise arrived at between the parties although the said offences alleged to be made out in the instant case are not compoundable.

8. In view of the above, this Criminal Misc. Petition is allowed and the proceedings in Criminal Case No.267/2013 pending before the learned Senior Civil Judge & Metropolitan Magistrate, No.10, Headquarter Chomu, Jaipur Metropolitan, Jaipur-II for offences



punishable under Sections 467, 468, 471 & 120B IPC, against the petitioners are quashed in view of compromise arrived at between the parties. Consequence to follow.

9. The stay application and pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(ANIL KUMAR UPMAN),J

173/LALIT MOHAN