



**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR**



S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 5489/2026

Atar Singh @ Atara S/o Bharosi, Aged About 30 Years, R/o Ruggapura, Police Station Sadar Karauli, District Karauli (Raj.)
(At Present Confined At District Jail Karauli).

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Rishi Kumar Sharma with Mr. Gaurav Soni Ms. Nandini Sharma Ms. Muskam Soni
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Vivek Sharma, PP Mr. Girish Khandelwal

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAMIL KUMAR MATHUR

Order

30/04/2026

1. The petitioner has preferred this bail application under Section 483 of BNSS in FIR No.288/2025 registered at Police Station Karauli, District Karauli for offences under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 119(1) and 61(2) of BNS, 2023.
2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned Public Prosecutor and perused the material available on record.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. It is further submitted that owing to a minor transaction and an ongoing property dispute between the parties, the present FIR has been lodged on false and concocted grounds. It is also submitted that as per the injury report, all injuries sustained by the injured Pushendra are simple



in nature. Petitioner is behind the bars since 07.01.2026 with criminal past of eleven cases. The trial of the case will take considerable time, therefore, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in further custody and the bail application of the petitioner may be allowed.

4. Learned Public Prosecutor and learned counsel for the complainant have vehemently opposed the bail application and submit that the petitioner is a habitual offender and is already convicted under NDPS Act. It is further submitted that he has obtained the benefit of bail from this Court in another case on the ground that he is already on bail in all the cases on false representation, therefore, he is not entitled for benefit of bail.

5. On consideration of the rival submissions and material available on record and custody period of the petitioner and mini transaction dispute between the parties but without expressing any opinion on merits/demerits of the case, I am inclined to grant benefit of bail to the petitioner.

6. Consequently, the bail application under Section 483 B.N.S.S. is allowed and it is directed that the petitioner Atar Singh @ Atara S/o Bharosi, be released on bail provided he furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with two sureties in the sum of Rs.25,000/- each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court with the stipulation that he shall appear before that Court on all subsequent dates of hearing till conclusion of the trial with following further conditions:-

(i) that the petitioner shall mark his presence before the SHO, Police Station Karauli, District Karauli in every 15 days of each month till conclusion of trial.



(ii) The concerned SHO is directed to maintain a register for this purpose. In case, the petitioner fails to mark his presence in the concerned Police Station as directed above, the concerned SHO is directed to immediately report the matter to trial court in this regard.

(iii) The petitioner shall not repeat any offence in future.

(iii) If breach of any of the directions is reported or come to the notice of the trial court the same shall alone be a reason for learned Public Prosecutor to apply for cancellation of bail and trial court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail.

(PRAMIL KUMAR MATHUR),J