

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Second Bail Application No.
5373/2026

Aditya Singh S/o Manoj Singh, Aged About 24 Years, R/o Village Kanoli Garhwal, Police Station Mahuwa, District Vaishali (Bhiar) At Present Plot No. 18, Rohit Nagar-Iii, Near Rohit Public School, Diesel Line Wali Gali, Keshav Nagar-Iii, Near Ayush Hospital, Jamdoli, Jaipur (Raj.) (Accused Confined In Center Jail, Jaipur).

-----Petitioner

Versus

The State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Saurabh Pratap Singh Mr. Bhanwar Singh Chouhan Mr. Ritul Sharma
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Shree Ram Dhakad, PP Mr. Rajendra Sharma, RPS (IO)

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAMEER JAIN

Order

27/05/2026

1. The instant bail application has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS on behalf of accused-applicant. The accused-applicant was arrested in connection with FIR No.02/2025 registered at Police Station Vishwakarma, District Jaipur (West) for the offence(s) under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 109(1), 3(5) of BNS.
2. Learned counsel for the accused-applicant submits that the applicant is a young man aged 24 years and the sole bread earner of his family. It is submitted that the applicant has been in custody since 03.01.2025 and that the charge-sheet has been filed. It is submitted that the applicant has been implicated under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 109(1), 3(5) of BNS. It is submitted that the

eyewitnesses, PW-1 and PW-2 have turned hostile and have not supported the prosecution story. It is further submitted that the applicant's role is not connected with the allegations levelled against him. It is also submitted that the cause of death which was inflicted by a wooden stick is not assigned to the applicant.

3. *Per contra*, learned Public Prosecutor has opposed the second bail application. It is submitted that it is a case of double murder, in which the applicant has been specifically named in the FIR as one of the accused. It is submitted that the cause of death was due to injuries inflicted by a wooden stick, which has also been recovered.

4. Considering the arguments advanced by the counsel for the parties, considering the nature of allegations; that the applicant has been specifically named in the FIR; that categorical allegations are made against the applicant; and looking to the overall facts and circumstances of the case and material on record but without commenting on merits/demerits of the case, this court is not inclined to allow the second bail application at this stage.

5. Accordingly, second bail application is dismissed.

(SAMEER JAIN),J