

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Review Petition (Writ) No. 92/2023

in

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.4480/2016

Rakesh Kumar Bhankeriya S/o Late Shri Chandra Lal Bhankeriya
aged about 45 years R/o Mohalla Lal Khan, Bhomiya Nagar,
Alwar.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. Joint Secretary (DOP), A-4, Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. Uma Bhankeriya W/o Late Shri Chandra Lal Bhankeriya, R/o
House No.26, Scheme No.4 Alwar Tehsil & District Alwar.
3. Kaveri Bhankeriya D/o Late Shri Chandra Lal Bhankeriya, aged
about 37 years R/o House No.26, Scheme No.4 Alwar Tehsil &
District Alwar.

-----Respondents

Connected With

S.B. Review Petition (Writ) No. 150/2023

in

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.16285/2016

Kaveri D/o Late Shri Chandra Bhakeriya aged about 37 years R/o
House No.26, Scheme No.4 Alwar Tehsil & District Alwar
(Rajasthan).

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan, through Principal Secretary,
Department of Personnel, Government of Rajasthan, Secretariat,
Jaipur.
2. Joint Secretary, Department of Personnel Ka-4, Government
of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.
3. Rakesh Kumar Bhankeriya S/o Late Shri Chandra Lal
Bhankeriya aged about 45 years R/o Mohalla Lal Khan, Bhomiya
Nagar, Alwar

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s) : Mr.Abhinav Kasliwal
Mr.Hemant Gajraj
For Respondent(s) : Mr.Prakhar Jain for
Mr.Archit Bohra, Addl.GC.

JUSTICE ANOOP KUMAR DHAND

Order

24/02/2026

1. Both the instant review petitions have been filed by the petitioners for recalling of the order dated 20.03.2023, passed by this Court, while deciding S.B. Civil Writ Petition Nos.4480/2016 & 16285/2016, by which the writ petitions submitted by both the petitioners were rejected on the technical count that the petitioner-Rakesh Kumar Bhankeriya was already in service and he was serving in the Jaipur Vidyut Vitran Nigam Private Limited (for short, "the JVVNL"). Counsel submits that when the petitioners sought to verify this information under the Right to Information Act, 2005, the information was supplied to them that Rakesh Kumar Bhankeriya is not employed with the JVVNL.
2. The aforesaid fact has not been disputed by counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents.
3. Looking to the fact that the aforementioned writ petitions were dismissed on the technical count that the petitioner-Rakesh Kumar Bhankeriya was in service with the JVVNL, wherein the fact remaining that he was not in service with the JVVNL, under these circumstances, the order dated 20.03.2023 passed by this Court, while deciding S.B. Civil Writ Petitions Nos.4480/2016 & 16285/2016, deserves to be and is hereby recalled.

4. Both the aforementioned writ petitions are restored to their original numbers.

5. Office to proceed.

(ANOOP KUMAR DHAND),J

Aayush Sharma /21-22