

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR**



S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 6788/2026

Ashok Tiwari Mau S/o Sh. Sitaram Tiwari, Aged About 55 Years,
Resident Of Village Post Mau, Tehsil Shrimadhopur, District Sikar,
Rajasthan. Presently Posted And Place Of Posting - Senior
Teacher, Sanskrit, Government Senior Secondary Sanskrit
School, Bandhya Ki Dhani, Sikar.
Employee I.D. - RJSK199633024287

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan, Through Principal Secretary, Sanskrit Education Department, Government Secretariat, Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. Commissioner, Sanskrit Education Department, Shiksha Sankul, J.L.N. Marg, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
3. Sh. Bajrang Lal, Senior Teacher, Present Posting Government Senior Upadhyay Sanskrit School, Puniyon Ki Dhani, Chuntisara, Distt. Nagaur.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. H.V. Nandwana
For Respondent(s)	:	Ms. Manju Joshi with Ms. Deepa Singh

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI CHIRANIA

Order

24/04/2026

1. In the present writ petition, the petitioner has challenged the impugned transfer order dated 06.01.2026, rejection of representation order dated 17.02.2026 and dismissal of appeal against rejection of representation order dated 13.03.2026 by Rajasthan State Civil Services Appellate Tribunal.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner, Mr. H.V. Nandwana, submits that the petitioner was awarded State Level certificate by De-

partment of Education, Rajasthan and National Level certificate by the Ministry of Human Resources Development, Government of India way back in the year 2012.

3. Learned counsel further submits that the Department issued a letter-cum-order dated 08.09.2010, in terms of which the teachers who were awarded the 'State Award Teacher' title had to be given priority in posting in any of the three options which they would submit.

4. In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the action of the respondents of transferring him from Sikar to Bikaner is illegal, arbitrary, unjustified and further in violation of the guidelines issued by the concerned Department-Director of Secondary Education Rajasthan, Bikaner vide order dated 08.09.2010.

5. ***Per contra***, learned counsel for the respondent-State strongly opposed the submission and submits that transfer is a part of service and petitioner has worked for long period in the present District-Sikar and only for some short duration, he remained out of the District.

6. Considering the fact as stated in the writ petition and submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court finds no merit in the arguments of the learned counsel for the petitioner. It is a settled principle of law that transfer is an implied condition of service and discretion of an employer in transferring an employee is wide.

7. In the present case, no violation of any statutory rule or malafide intent is shown, thus, in normal course of action, interference of the Court is not required.

8. In view of the above, the instant writ petition is dismissed.
9. All pending application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

(RAVI CHIRANIA),J

RINCHU /13