

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 5236/2026

Manoj Kumar S/o Netram, Aged About 21 Years, Resident of Navadiya Dhani, Jeevad, Police Station Batoda, District Sawai Madhopur (Rajasthan)
(Presently Confined In Sub District Jail, Gangapur City).

----Accused/Applicant

Versus

State of Rajasthan, through PP

----Respondent

For Applicant(s)	:	Mr. Fateh Ram Meena, Adv. Mr. Akash Sharma, Adv.
For Respondent(s)	:	Ms. Manju Dave, Addl.G.A. Mr. Naresh Kumar Gupta, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR
(VACATION JUDGE)

Order

03/06/2026

1. The present bail application has been filed by the accused-applicant under Section 483 of the B.N.S.S, 2023 against the order dated 30.03.2026 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge No.1, Gangapur City in Bail Application No.128/2026 arising out of FIR No.22/2026, registered at Police Station Batoda, District Sawai Madhopur for the offences punishable under Sections 319(2), 318(4) of B.N.S., 2023 and Section 66-D of Information Technology (Amendment) Act, 2008 by which the bail application of the accused-applicant under Section 483 of B.N.S.S., 2023 has been dismissed.

2. Learned counsel for the accused-applicant submits that the accused-applicant has falsely been implicated in this case. Learned counsel also submits that age of the present accused-applicant is about 21 years and the charges levelled against him are that he has received an amount of Rs.15,000/- from one complainant-Hritika and Rs.16,000/- from another complainant-Anjana by committing cyber fraud with them, however, these amounts were deposited in his account mistakenly. Learned counsel further submits that the accused-applicant and both the complainants have arrived at compromise and the agreements dated 02.03.2026 and 28.02.2026 have been placed on record, which mentions that money so deposited from both the complainants has been returned back to them by the accused-applicant. Certified copy of the agreements dated 02.03.2026 and 28.02.2026 are taken on record.

3. Learned counsel for the accused-applicant contends that accused-applicant was arrested on 14.02.2026 and since then, he is behind the bars. Learned counsel further contends that the offences levelled against the accused-applicant are triable by Judicial Magistrate First Class. Learned counsel argues that no other criminal case has been registered against the accused-applicant. Learned counsel prays that charge-sheet has been filed and trial will take long time to conclude, therefore, the accused-applicant be enlarged on bail.

4. On the other hand, learned Deputy Government Advocate along with learned Public Prosecutor vehemently while opposing the bail application, admits the factum of compromise arrived at between the parties.

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties and also perused the material available on record.

6. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and looking to the age and period of custody of the accused-applicant; the fact that both the complainants and the accused-applicant have arrived at compromise; that no other criminal case has been registered against the accused-applicant; that the charges levelled against the accused-applicant are triable by Judicial Magistrate and also that charge-sheet has already been filed and trial will take long time to conclude, but without expressing any opinion on the merits/demerits of the case, this Court deems it proper to allow the bail application filed by the accused-applicant.

7. Consequently, this bail application filed under Section 483 of B.N.S.S., 2023 is allowed. It is ordered that accused-applicant **Manoj Kumar S/o Netram**, arrested in FIR No.22/2026, registered at Police Station Batoda, District Sawai Madhopur shall be released on bail; provided he furnishes personal bond in the sum of Rs.1,00,000/- and two solvent attested sureties of Rs.50,000/- each to the satisfaction of the trial Court. Applicant shall be required to appear before that Court on all dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so.

8. The accused-applicant is also directed to mark his presence in third week of every month till conclusion of trial, before the concerned Police Station. SHO of the concerned Police Station shall send such report regarding presence of the accused-applicant, to learned trial Court every month. In case of any breach of the above condition, the State shall be free to move an application for cancellation of bail.

9. Copy of this order also be sent to concerned SHO for compliance.

(ASHUTOSH KUMAR),V.J.

AARZOO ARORA/44