




**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR**



S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 5288/2026

Irsad Ali Urf Kuthala S/o Ali Mohammad, Aged About 30 Years,
R/o Bhandara, Police Station Jurhara, District Deeg, Rajasthan.
(Petitioner Is In Judicial Custody In District Jail Deeg).

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Manish Gupta
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Jaiprakash Tiwari, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAMIL KUMAR MATHUR

Order

20/04/2026

1. The petitioner has preferred this bail application under Section 483 of BNSS in FIR No.90/2025 registered at Police Station Jurhera, District Deeg for offences under Sections 319(2), 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2), 317(5), 303(2), 308(2), 313, 61(2) (a) of BNS and Section 66D of IT Act, 2008.
2. Heard learned counsels for the petitioner as well as learned Public Prosecutor and perused the material available on record.
3. Learned counsels for the petitioner submit that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. He again submits that co-accused Ajru has been enlarged on bail by this Court on 24.07.2025, co-accused namely Arsad, Aasif, Munasib, Sarvej, Sahadat, Mustufa, Juned, Kamil, Afridi, Maksudeen, Ajrudeen by Co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide 11.06.2025 and co-accused Aasid by Co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated



16.09.2025 and co-accused Kamil and Ajruddin by Co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 06.08.2025 respectively. The case of the petitioner is akin to them. Petitioner is behind the bars since 14.03.2026 though four cases have been reported against petitioner in which he is already bailed out. Charge-sheet has already been filed. The trial of the case will take considerable time, therefore, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in further custody and on the ground of parity with co-accused, the bail application deserves to be allowed.

4. Learned Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the bail application of the petitioner.

5. On consideration of the rival submissions and material available on record and in light of submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner but without expressing any opinion on merits/demerits of the case, I am inclined to grant benefit of bail to the petitioner.

6. Consequently, the bail application under Section 483 B.N.S.S. is allowed and it is directed that the petitioner **Irsad Ali Urf Kuthala S/o Ali Mohammad**, be released on bail provided he furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with two sureties in the sum of Rs.25,000/- each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court with the stipulation that he shall appear before that Court on all subsequent dates of hearing till conclusion of the trial.

(PRAMIL KUMAR MATHUR),J