

RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT

सत्यमेव जयते

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 5345/2026

Sahid S/o Samsu, Aged 20 Years, R/o Village Nagla Kundan,
Police Station Jurhera, District Deeg (Rajasthan) (At Present
Confined In District Jail Deeg).

-----Accused-Petitioner

Versus

State of Rajasthan, Through P.P.

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. D.K. Dixit
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Shree Ram Dhakar, PP

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SANGEETA SHARMA (V.J.)

Order

05/06/2026

1. The instant bail applications has been filed on behalf of the accused-petitioner under Section 483 of BNSS in connection with FIR No. 82/2026 registered at Police Station Jurhera, District Deeg for the offence(s) punishable under Sections 319(2), 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2), 317(5), 303(2), 308(2), 313 & 61(2)(a) of B.N.S., 2023 and Section 66D of IT Act.
2. Learned counsel for the accused-petitioner submits that the accused-petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case on the basis of some applications found installed in his mobile phone, which are capable of being used in the commission of cyber fraud. He also submits that the accused-petitioner is in custody since long. He further submits that charge-sheet has already been filed and there are no criminal antecedents registered against the accused-petitioner. He further submits that the alleged offences

are triable by Magistrate; conclusion of trial will take its own time, so no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the accused-petitioner behind the bars and, therefore, prays that the accused-petitioner may be granted the benefit of bail under Section 483 of BNSS.

3. *Per contra*, learned Public Prosecutor vehemently opposes the submissions advanced by learned counsel for the accused-petitioner hereinabove and concurs with the fact that there are no criminal antecedents registered against the accused-petitioner.

4. Considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case, the arguments advanced by learned counsel for both the parties and the material made available before this Court that the charge-sheet against the accused-petitioner has been filed; that the accused-petitioner is in custody since 09.03.2026; that there are no criminal antecedents registered against the accused-petitioner; that the trial will take its own time. Hence, this Court without expressing any opinion on the merits/demerits of the case, deems it just and proper to enlarge the accused-petitioner on bail.

5. Therefore, the instant bail application under Section 483 BNSS is accordingly, **allowed** and the accused-petitioner **Sahid S/o Samsu** is ordered to be released on bail, provided he furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs. 1,00,000/- with two sureties of Rs. 50,000/- each to the satisfaction of the Trial Court, with the stipulation that he shall appear before that Court and any Court to which the matter is transferred, on all subsequent dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so.

6. It is made clear that the accused-petitioner shall not involve himself in any offence(s) during currency of the bail.

7. In case of any breach of the aforementioned condition, the learned Public Prosecutor shall be free to move the application against the accused-petitioner for cancellation of the bail before the concerned Court.

8. The observation made hereinabove is only for decision of the instant bail application and would not have any impact on the trial of the case in any manner.

9. Office is directed to send a copy of this order to the concerned trial Court through e-mail/fax, for necessary compliance.

(SANGEETA SHARMA (V.J.)),J

PUNEET KUMAR/57