

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous II Bail Application No. 5193/2026

Santosh Saha S/o Shri Sandeep Saha, Aged About 24 Years, R/o B-1131, Shastri Nagar, Ashok Vihar, H.o. North West, Delhi - 110052 (At Present Confined In Central Jail, Jaipur (Raj.))

-----Petitioner

Versus

Directorate Of Revenue Intelligence, Through Special Public Prosecutor.

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Ashok Choudhary
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Kinshuk Jain, Sr. Standing counsel for DRI assisted by Mr. Sourabh Jain and Mr. Parth Khandal

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAVEER BHATNAGAR

Order

07/05/2026

1. The instant second bail application has been filed under Section 483 BNSS on behalf of accused-petitioner. The accused-petitioner has been arrested in connection with FIR No. DRI-DZU/JRU-19-INT-14/2025-26 registered at Police Station DRI, Jaipur for the offences under Sections 8, 20, 23, 27A, 28 and 29 of NDPS Act read with Sections 2 and 8(C) of NDPS Act.
2. Learned counsel for the accused-petitioner submits that the accused-petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. He further submits that the quantity of recovered hydroponic weed which falls in the category of ganja, is below commercial quantity. The accused-petitioner is in custody since long and the trial of the case may take considerable time, there are no criminal

antecedents against the accused-petitioner, therefore, the second bail application of the accused-petitioner may be allowed.

3. Learned Special Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the second bail application and contended that vide order dated 19.01.2026, this Court dismissed the first bail application and apart from recording the statement of one witness, there is no change in circumstances. The Court dismissed the first bail application on merits, therefore, considering the aforesaid facts, the second bail application of the accused-petitioner may be dismissed.

4. Heard and perused the material available on record.

5. This Court vide order dated 19.01.2026 after elaborately considering the facts of the present case, dismissed the petitioner's first bail application and since then, there is no change in circumstances except that the accused-petitioner is in custody from last six months and prosecution has examined PW-1. Therefore, considering the aforesaid facts and without further commenting anything on the merits of the case, this Court is not inclined to enlarge the accused-petitioner on bail.

6. Accordingly, the second bail application of the accused-petitioner is hereby dismissed.

(PRAVEER BHATNAGAR),J