



**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN  
BENCH AT JAIPUR**

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous (Petition) No. 2056/2026  
CNR: RJHC020314272026 | URN: CRLMP / 4677U / 2026

1. Kuldeep S/o Prakash Chand, Aged About 37 Years, Resident Of Village Bandhda, Tijara, Bhiwadi, District Khairtal-Tijara, Rajasthan.
2. Rajendra Singh S/o Rampat Yadav, Aged About 38 Years, Resident Village Motuka, Kishangarh Bas, District Khairtal-Tijara, Rajasthan.
3. Bhupesh S/o Kamal Singh, Aged About 36 Years, Resident Of Village Bandhda, Tijara, Bhiwadi, District Khairtal-Tijara, Rajasthan.

-----Accused-Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through PP, Jaipur.
2. Anita W/o Rakesh, Aged About 45 Years, Resident Of Village Fatiyabad, Kishangarh Bas, Kotkasim, Khairtal-Tijara, Rajasthan.

-----Complainant/Respondents

---

|                   |   |                                                                                               |
|-------------------|---|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| For Petitioner(s) | : | Mr. Abhimanyu Singh Yaduvanshi, Adv.                                                          |
| For Respondent(s) | : | Ms. Arti Sharma, PP and Mr. Alakh Parashar, Adv., with Mr. Jai Khudia, Adv., for complainant. |

---

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPMAN**

**Order**

**28/07/2026**

1. By way of this criminal misc. petition under Section 528 of BNSS, the petitioners have approached this Court with a prayer to quash the FIR No.188/2025 registered at Police Station Tijara, District Bhiwadi for offences punishable under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of IPC on the basis of compromise.



2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that property dispute has been resolved by the parties by way of mutual dialogues. Counsel submits that except the present case, there are no criminal antecedents against the petitioners. Counsel submits that on account of compromise, further proceedings in the impugned FIR are fruitless and continuation thereof would be a futile exercise and a waste of precious judicial time and resources. The copy of written compromise has been annexed with this petition.

3. Learned Public Prosecutor places on record the factual report dated 22.07.2026 received from Dy.S.P., Circle Tijara, District Bhiwadi. According to the factual report, parties have resolved their dispute amicably and executed a compromise deed dated 15.01.2026.

4. Learned counsel appearing for complainant does not dispute the factum of compromise. He submits that complainant has no objection if impugned FIR pending against the petitioners is quashed.

5. In view of the aforesaid and considering the submissions made on behalf of the respective parties; the material made available for perusal; considering the fact that parties have resolved their dispute amicably and also finding that the present case is wholly covered by the principle of law laid down by Hon'ble the Supreme Court in the case of **Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr.** reported in **2012 Cr.L.J. (SC) 4934** and in the case of **State of Haryana & Ors. vs. Choudhary Bhajan Lal & Ors.** reported in **AIR 1992 SC 604** and as such, the impugned



FIR is liable to be quashed in view of compromise arrived at between the parties.

6. In view of the above, this Criminal Misc. Petition is allowed and the FIR No.188/2025 registered at Police Station Tijara, District Bhiwadi alongwith all consequential criminal proceedings arising out of it are quashed and set aside. Consequence to follow.

7. Stay application and pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(ANIL KUMAR UPMAN),J