

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 6273/2026

1. District Cricket Association, Sawaimadhopur Through Secretary Deepak Raj S/o Griraj Prasad Resident Of Opposite To Girls School Gangapur City, Sawaimadhopur
2. Deepak Raj S/o Griraj Prasad, Resident Of Opposite To Girls School Gangapur City, Sawaimadhopur

----Petitioners

Versus

1. Sub-Registrar, Cooperative Societies, Sawai Madhopur
2. Mr. Ravinder Kumar Goyal, Sub-Registrar, Cooperative Societies, Sawai Madhopur
3. Dr. Sumit Garg Son Of Dr. S.c. Garg, Resident Of Garg Hospital And Research Center, Near Bhairu Darwaja, Sawai Madhopur
4. Principal Secretary Cum Appellate Authority, Sports Youth Affairs, Rajasthan Sports Council Jaipur
5. Mr. Bhavani Singh S/o Uday Narayan Singh, Aged About 52 Years, Resident Of Jatwada Khurd Sawaimadhopur

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Peush Nag
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Madhusudan Singh Rajpurohit

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAMEER JAIN

Order

21/04/2026

The present petition is filed assailing the impugned order dated 04.03.2026 passed by Appellate Authority and Principal Secretary Sports Youth Affairs, Jaipur – respondent no.4 whereby the recall application moved by the petitioner herein to recall the order dated 23.11.2023 passed in Appeal No. P.13(16/2015, P.13(24)/Sports/2017 and P.13(27)/Sports/2019, for the reason

that the said order was obtained by the respondent no.3 by way of misrepresentation, by produced forged documents, committed cheating with appellate-authority quasi judicial body, however respondent no.4 rejected that recall application stating that the appellate authority is having no jurisdiction of recall of its own order.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that on recall application (Annexure-20), a detailed order dated 29.08.2025 was passed by respondent no.4, whereby the effect and operation of the order dated 23.11.2023 to a certain extent was stayed. It is submitted that thereafter on the respective dates, attendance was marked by counsel representing both the parties, opportunity to file reply was given and the arguments were heard. It is submitted that vide order dated 04.03.2026, prior to transfer order of the authority, an order was passed in an *ex parte* manner without hearing the sides. It is further submitted that qua the recall application vide order dated 29.08.2025 it is reflected that detailed hearing is required, reply so filed is to be considered.

It is noted that in a cryptic manner in violation of principles of natural justice, the recall application moved by the petitioner is dismissed.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the matter at hand, and without going into merits of the case, the order dated 04.03.2026 be quashed and set aside, and with consensus of respective sides, this Court directs the appellate authority i.e. undersigning authority of letter dated 04.03.2026/ holding the office at present, shall consider the recall application of the

petitioner, within a period of thirty days, from the date of passing of this order, following principles of natural justice and pass appropriate orders, in accordance with law. It is made clear that due audience be granted to the respective sides.

It is also made cautiously clear that passing of the present order shall not bare any prejudice to either of the sides.

Accordingly, the present writ petition is disposed of. Pending applications, if any, shall stand disposed.

(SAMEER JAIN),J