

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous (Petition) No. 2275/2019

Smt. Meenakshi Arora @ Meenu D/o Omprakash Jain, Aged
About 38 Years, R/o G 3/4, Old Jawahar Nagar, Kota, Raj.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan, Through PP.
2. Shri Mahesh Singh (Investigating Officer FIR No.33/19
Police Station Mahaveer Nagar, Kota), Rajasthan.

-----Respondents

Connected With

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous (Petition) No. 1037/2019

Minakshi Jain @ Meenu Arora D/o Om Prakash Jain, aged about
38 Years, R/o Makan No.a-4, Purana Jawahar Nagar, Kota, Hal
Mukam Makan No.G-3/4, Purana Jawahar Nagar, Kota.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan, Through Government Advocate.
2. Anusandhan Adhikari, Shri Ramkaran Nagar, Police Thana
Jawahar Nagar, Kota.
3. Mukesh Aroda S/o Shri Ashwraj Lal Aroda B/c Aroda,
Aged About 53 Years, R/o 3/4, Jawahar Nagar, Kota
Shahar, Raj.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	None
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Jitendra Singh Rathore-PP Ms. Neha Goyal

JUSTICE ANOOP KUMAR DHAND

Order

27/04/2026

1. By way of filing these petitions, a challenge has been led to
the impugned notice dated 28.03.2019 issued by the Investigating

Officer, Police Station Mahaveer Nagar District Kota City, to the petitioner-Meenakshi under Section 91 Cr.P.C. directing her to produce certain documents pertaining to House No. 3/4 Jawarhar Nagar including the agreement, Power of Attorney and Will etc.

2. Perusal of the record indicates that FIR No. 33/2019 was registered at the Police Station Jawahar Nagar, Kota, District Kota City for the offences punishable under Sections 420, 467, 468 and 471 IPC by the complainant wherein several allegations have been levelled stating that the above three documents were not executed by the complainant and that the same were fabricated. On the basis of the aforesaid, the above mentioned FIR has been lodged for the purpose of investigation of the matter with regard to the allegations levelled by the complainant and therefore, a notice under Section 91Cr.P.C. has been issued against the petitioner.

3. In the considered opinion of this Court, the Investigating Officer has not committed any error by issuing the aforesaid notice against the petitioner. Therefore, in view of the above, no case is made out to quash the impugned FIR No. 33/2019, hence, both petitions are liable to be rejected.

4. Accordingly, both criminal misc. petitions stand dismissed. Stay application and all pending application(s), if any, also stand rejected.

(ANOOP KUMAR DHAND),J