

RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT

सत्यमेव जयते

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 5100/2026

Anil Kumar S/o Surajbhan, aged about 40 years, R/o Nogaonwa,
Police Station Salawas District Jhanjhar (Haryana).
(Petitioner in District Jail, Sikar).

-----Petitioner

Versus

State of Rajasthan, through P.P.

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Ms. Shazadi Bano with Mr. Lakshay Kumar Sharma, for Mr. Ali Mohd. Khan
For Respondent(s)	:	Ms. Arti Sharma, P.P.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPMAN

Order

07/05/2026

1. This bail application under Section 483 of BNSS has been filed on behalf of the petitioner, who has been arrested in connection with FIR No.102/2025, registered at Police Station Gokulpura, District Sikar (Raj.) for offence punishable under Section 8/15 of NDPS Act.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was already granted bail in the aforesaid FIR by this Court vide order dated 04.08.2025 and while granting the bail to the petitioner, this Court had imposed the condition that he shall mark his presence before the concerned SHO during the first week of every month, till the trial court is concluded and if the said condition is breached by the petitioner, the same shall alone be a reason for the trial court to cancel the bail granted to the

petitioner. Counsel submits that since, the petitioner is not aware of English language and that his counsel did not inform him about the aforesaid condition, the petitioner could not mark his presence before the concerned SHO and in such circumstance, on 19.03.2026 his bail bonds were forfeited and he was sent to judicial custody. Thereafter, the petitioner filed a fresh bail application, which was dismissed by the trial court vide order dated 24.03.2026. Counsel further submits that in future, the petitioner shall regularly mark his presence before the concerned SHO without any default. Counsel further submits that the petitioner shall abide by the conditions of bail and shall not commit any offence during the period of bail. It is also submitted that there are no criminal antecedents against the petitioner.

3. Learned Public Prosecutor opposes the bail application and submits that the petitioner has violated the conditions of bail imposed by this Court and, therefore, he is not entitled to any relief.

4. Considering the submissions made by learned counsel for the parties and taking into account the fact that the petitioner was found in possession of contraband which is below commercial quantity and is in custody since 19.03.2026, I deem it just and proper to grant one more opportunity to the petitioner.

5. Accordingly, the bail application is allowed on the same terms and conditions as imposed by this Court vide order dated 24.03.2026, and it is directed that accused-petitioner – **Anil Kumar S/o Surajbhan** shall be released on bail provided, he furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.5,00,000/- (Rupees

Five Lakh Only) together with two sureties in the sum of Rs.2,50,000/- (Rupees Two Lakh Fifty Thousand Only), each to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court with the stipulation that he shall appear before that Court and any Court to which the matter is transferred, on all subsequent dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so.

(ANIL KUMAR UPMAN),J