

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 4979/2026

Insaaf S/o Ajmat, Aged About 22 Years, R/o Saemla Khurd Police Station, Govindgarh, District Alwar (Raj.) (The Accused Petitioner Presently Confined In The District Jail, Deeg).

----Accused/Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through PP

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Rajneesh Gupta with Mr. Rahul Agarwal
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Manvendra Singh Shekhawat, PP

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SANGEETA SHARMA (V.J.)

Order

03/06/2026

1. The instant bail application has been filed on behalf of the accused-petitioner under Section 483 of BNSS in connection with FIR No. 52/2026 registered at Police Station Nagar, District Deeg for the offence(s) punishable under Sections 319(2), 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2), 111(2)(a), 111(4), 111(5), 313 and 61(2)(a) and Sections 66D and 66E of IT Act.

2. Learned counsel for the accused-petitioner submits that the accused-petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. He also submits that the accused-petitioner is in custody since long. He further submits that the charge-sheet against the accused-petitioner has already been filed; that the co-accused person (Juvenile) has already been enlarged on bail by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court; that there are no criminal antecedents registered against the accused-petitioner; conclusion of trial will

take its own time, so no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the accused-petitioner behind the bars and, therefore, prays that the accused-petitioner may be granted the benefit of bail under Section 483 of BNSS.

3. *Per contra*, learned Public Prosecutor vehemently opposes the submissions advanced by learned counsel for the accused-petitioner hereinabove and concurs with the fact that there are no criminal antecedents registered against the accused-petitioner.

4. Considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case and the arguments advanced by learned counsel for both the parties; that the charge-sheet against the accused-petitioner has already been filed; that the co-accused person (Juvenile) has already been enlarged on bail by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 01.05.2026 in S.B. Criminal Revision Petition No.656/2026; that the accused-petitioners are behind the bars since 24.02.2026; that there are no criminal antecedents registered against the accused-petitioner; that the trial will take its own time. Hence, this Court without expressing any opinion on the merits/demerits of the case, deems it just and proper to enlarge the accused-petitioner on bail.

5. Therefore, the instant bail application under Section 483 BNSS is accordingly, **allowed** and the accused-petitioner **Insaaf S/o Ajmat** is ordered to be released on bail, provided he furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs. 1,00,000/- with two sureties of Rs. 50,000/- each to the satisfaction of the Trial Court, with the stipulation that he shall appear before that Court and any Court to which the matter is transferred, on all subsequent dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so.

6. It is made clear that the accused-petitioner shall not involve himself in any offence(s) during currency of the bail.
7. In case of any breach of the aforementioned condition, the learned Public Prosecutor shall be free to move the application against the accused-petitioner for cancellation of the bail before the concerned Court.
8. The observation made hereinabove is only for decision of the instant bail application and would not have any impact on the trial of the case in any manner.
9. Office is directed to send a copy of this order to the concerned trial Court through e-mail/fax, for necessary compliance.

(SANGEETA SHARMA (V.J.)),J