




**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR**



S.B. Criminal Writ Petition No. 518/2026

1. Khushbu Jatav Daughter of Pravesh Kumar, Aged About 22 Years, Resident of Ballupura Soniya Vihar, Jamdoli, Jaipur, Luniawas, Rajasthan 302031.
2. Rohtash Gurjar Son of Shiv Singh Gujjar, Aged About 29 Years, Resident of 231/97, Pratap Nagar, Sanganer, Pratap Nagar Sector 11, Jaipur, Sanganer, Rajasthan 302033.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan, Through P.P.
2. Superintendent of Police, Rural Jaipur, Gopalbari Area, Near Railway Station Jaipur 302006 (Raj)
3. SHO, Jamdoli Police Station, Opp. Cambay Golf Club, Jamdoli, Jaipur Rajasthan 302031
4. Pravesh Kumar S/o Chiranji Lal Jatav, Aged About 55 Years, R/o 12 Soniya Vihar Ballupura, Jamdoli, Jaipur Rajasthan 302031.
5. Manish Kumar S/o Pravesh Kumar, Aged About 27 Years, R/o 12 Soniya Vihar Ballupura, Jamdoli, Jaipur Rajasthan 302031)
6. Deepak Kumar S/o Pravesh Kumar, Aged About 25 Years, R/o 12 Soniya Vihar Ballupura, Jamdoli, Jaipur Rajasthan 302031)
7. Suraj Pal S/o Pravesh Kumar, Aged About 23 Years, R/o 12 Soniya Vihar Ballupura, Jamdoli, Jaipur Rajasthan 302031)
8. Ramesh Chandra S/o Chiranji Lal Jatav, Aged About 60 Years, R/o Manu Vihar, Jamdoli, Jaipur Rajasthan 302031)
9. Ajit Singh S/o Ramesh Chandra, Aged About 40 Years, R/o Manu Vihar, Jamdoli, Jaipur Rajasthan 302031)
10. Nitesh Chaudary S/o Ramesh Chandra, Aged About 35 Years, R/o Manu Vihar, Jamdoli, Jaipur Rajasthan 302031)
11. Suresh Jatav S/o Chiranji Lal Jatav, Aged About 52 Years, R/o Mera Nagela, Mursan, Hathras Mathura Uttar Pradesh

-----Respondents



For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Shekhar Singh, Adv.
For Respondent(s) : Ms. Manju Dave, P.P.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE UMA SHANKER VYAS

Judgment / Order

24/04/2026

This petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for protection of life and personal liberty of the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that both the petitioners, are major, have solemnized marriage with each other which has been registered on 28.11.2025 with the Marriage Registration Officer, Jaipur (Raj.); but, the private respondents are not happy with their marriage and they are threatening the petitioners. Given that their life and liberty is in danger, police protection may be granted to them.

Learned Public Prosecutor submits that appropriate directions may be issued.

Heard. Considered.

In view of the order intended to be passed in the petition, being non-prejudicial to the private respondents, no notices are required against them.

It is well settled legal position as expounded by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in **Lata Singh Vs. State of UP [AIR 2006 SC 2522]**, **S. Khushboo Vs. Kanniammal [(2010) 5 SCC 600]**, **Indra Sarma Vs. VKV Sarma [(2013) 15 SCC 755]** and **Shafin Jahan vs. Asokan KM & Ors. [(2018) 16 SCC 368]** that the society cannot determine how individuals live their



lives, especially when they are major, irrespective of the fact that the relation between two major individuals may be termed as unsocial. Thus, life and personal liberty of the individuals has to be protected except according to procedure established by law, as mandated by Article 21 of the Constitution of India. Further, as per Section 29 of Rajasthan Police Act, 2007 every police officer is duty bound to protect the life and liberty of the citizens.

Therefore, in light of above legal position and having regard to the above submissions but without expressing any opinion on the genuineness or correctness of the allegations made by the petitioners, this petition is disposed of with the direction that learned counsel for the petitioners shall send a copy of the petition along with its annexures to the Station House Officer of concerned Police Station through registered post/e-mail, and on receipt of the same, the Station House Officer concerned shall treat it as a complaint and after due enquiry, he shall take necessary preventive measures and other steps to ensure safety and security of the petitioners in accordance with law.

However, as a precautionary note, it is made clear that this order shall not come in the way of civil/criminal case, if any, and such case would take its own course as per law.

(UMA SHANKER VYAS),J