


HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 6280/2026

Dinesh Kumar Dotaniya S/o Late Shri Gyarsi Lal, Resident Of
Village And Post Bisanpura Tehsil Dausa District Dausa

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Principal Chief Conservator Of Forest, Department Of Forest, Aranya Bhawan, Jaipur.
2. The Chief Conservator Of Forest, Aranya Bhawan, Jhalana Doongari, Jaipur.
3. The Additional Chief Forest Conservator, Aranya Bhawan, Jhalana Doongari, Jaipur.
4. The Assistant Conservator Of Forest, Sariska Tiger Project Alwar.
5. The Deputy Conservator Of Forest, Sariska Tiger Project Alwar
6. The Conservator Of Forest, Sariska Tiger Project Alwar
7. The Chief Conservator Of Forest, Sariska Tiger Project Alwar

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Mirza Faisal Baig.

For Respondent(s) :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MUNNURI LAXMAN

Order

09/04/2026

1. Heard on interim stay application.
2. The contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is that the entry made by the Recording Authority/Accepting Authority was without any basis or recommendation made by the Forwarding Authority and the entry was that "Unsatisfactory" and such entry of "Unsatisfactory" was vague and not relating to any specific aspects, on which such "Unsatisfactory" recording has been made.

3. It is his contention that shortly the DPC is going to be held for the promotion and his apprehension is that if this vague adverse entry is taken into consideration, there is likelihood of denying his promotion, therefore, he seeks interim directions.
4. I have perused the Annual Performance Appraisal Report ('APAR'), whereunder the Accepting Authority recorded the entry of "Unsatisfactory" and going through the entire format, the various columns indicated in the Proforma, are not filled-up by the Reporting Officer as well as Reviewing Officer on the aspect of satisfaction except the recording of entry "Unsatisfactory" by the Accepting Authority. This entry of "Unsatisfactory" by the Accepting Authority is vague. If any entry adverse to career of the employee, recording of the same should have been done after giving opportunity of hearing, which allegedly has not been done by the Authority.
5. In the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, the respondents-Authorities are directed that in the event of any DPC is held, the adverse entry made in the APAR of the petitioner, which is under challenge, shall not come in the way of consideration of petitioner's promotion.
6. Admit.
7. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable in four weeks.
8. List the matter on 11.05.2026.

(MUNNURI LAXMAN),J